



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 14.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022
C.M.P(MD).No.1826 of 2022

Priya

... Petitioner

versus

G.Balaji

... Respondent

Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of C.P.C, to withdraw the case in H.M.O.P.No.50 of 2021 on the file of the Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District and transfer the same to the Family Court, Thanjavur.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Karunanithi
For Respondent : Mr.A.Senthil Kumar

ORDER

This Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed seeking transfer of the proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.50 of 2021 from the file of the



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District to the Family Court,
Thanjavur.

2. The petitioner is the wife and the respondent is the husband. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnized on 23.06.2019, as per the Hindu Rites and Customs. Subsequently, due to some difference of opinion, the respondent has filed H.M.O.P.No.50 of 2021 before the Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District, seeking divorce. Since the petitioner/wife is a resident of Thanjavur District, she filed this present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition to transfer the proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.50 of 2021 from the file of the Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District, to the Family Court, Thanjavur.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the distance between Pudukkottai and Thanjavur is around 60 kms and the petitioner is not having any means to travel such a long distance for appearing before the Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District.



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

4.The learned counsel appearing for the respondent objects for transfer of the proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.50 of 2021, from the file of the Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District to the Family Court, Thanjavur.

5.The Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Amitha Shah vs- Virendar Lal Shah***, reported in ***(2003)10 SCC 609***, has held that the convenience of the wife and more particularly the child must be taken into account while deciding the petition for transfer. Further, in the case of ***Rajani Kishor Paradeshi Vs Kishor Babulal Paradeshi*** reported in ***(2005) 12 SCC 237***, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that in such type of transfer petitions, the convenience of the wife is to be preferred over the convenience of the husband.

6.This Court being satisfied with the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of this petition and also taking into consideration that the convenience of wife is to be taken into consideration at the time of considering transfer petitions as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgments cited supra, is inclined to allow the present petition.



WEB COPY



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

7.In the light of the above, this transfer civil miscellaneous petition stands allowed with the following directions:

- i. The proceedings in H.M.O.P.No.50 of 2021 on the file of the Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District is withdrawn and ordered to be transferred to the file of the Family Court, Thanjavur.
- ii. The learned Sub Judge, Aranthangi, Pudukkottai District is directed to transmit the entire case records to the Family Court, Thanjavur, forthwith and the learned Judge, Family Court, Thanjavur, who in turn shall dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

14.07.2022

tta

Index : Yes / No

Internet: Yes / No



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

To

1.Sub Judge,
Aranthangi,
Pudukkottai District.

2.Family Court,
Thanjavur.



WEB COPY



TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

tta

TR.C.M.P(MD).No.85 of 2022

14.07.2022