



WEB COPY

1

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01.03.2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.3797 of 2022

1. Renivin
2. A.Rakesh
3. R.Shiyanth

... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.2,3 and 4

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
South Police Station,
Thoothukudi,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.156 of 2022)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.L.Shaji Chellan, Advocate for
M/s.S.Senthil Sankara Natha Kumar, Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side).

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.156 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 323 and 506(1) IPC and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.156 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with the first accused had attacked the defacto complainant and abused her in filthy language. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the first petitioner is studying in Law College and exams are in progress and that the college Principal has issued circular that the physical classes will commence from 09.03.2022.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that the first accused was already released on bail.

5.Considering the facts that there existed election dispute between the parties, that the injured was already discharged from the hospital and that the first accused was already released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Thoothukkudi, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners 2 and 3 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty (30) days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation and the first petitioner shall report the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sd/-
01/03/2022

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.III)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

CSM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
THOOTHUKUDI.
2. -DO- THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOUTH POLICE STATION, THOOTHUKUDI,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3797 of 2022
Date :01/03/2022