



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 24/02/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.3458 of 2022

WEB COPY

Krm.Rathakrishnan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

1. The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Arumuganeri Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Cr.No.46 of 2022.

... Respondent/Complainant

2. Kalyanasundaram

... Petitioner/Intervener

For Petitioner : M/s.Sarvagan Prabhu, Advocate for
M/s.Jeyasankar P, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sivakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : M/s.S.Vidyasagar, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.46 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Sole Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b) and 506(i) IPC, in Cr.No.46 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to political enmity between the parties, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and criminally intimidated him. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that the petitioner is having six previous cases and he will definitely interfere with the chairman election and prayed the petition may be



5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner is having six previous cases including a murder case.

WEB COPY

6.Considering the fact that there existed political enmity between the parties and also the facts that no one was injured in this case and that except the offence under Section 506(i) IPC, the other offence under Section 294(b) is bailable in nature, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tiruchendur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
24/02/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUCHENDUR.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TUTICORIN DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARUMUGANERI POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.P.JEYASANKAR, Advocate (SR-1489[I] dated 24/02/2022)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3458 of 2022
Date :24/02/2022

USK/PN/SAR-I/07.03.2022/3P/6C