



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.ILANGOVAN

Crl.O.P. (MD)Nos.2971 & 2973 of 2021

WEB COPY

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.2971 of 2021

1.M.Pragadesh
2.K.Mayathevar
3.Thilagavathi

... Petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1.The State Rep, by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Samayanallur,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.4 of 2017)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Indhuja

... 2nd Respondent/
Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records and to quash the C.C.No.69 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti.

For Petitioners	:	Mr.V.Thirumal
For R1	:	Mr.B.Nambi Selvan Additional Public Prosecutor
For R2	:	Mr.P.Veerasamy

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.2973 of 2021

1.K.Mayathevar

2.Thilagavathi

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

Vs.

1.The State of Rep, by
The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Anna Nagar Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.417 of 2018)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant



2.Indhuja

... 2nd Respondent/
Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records and to quash the C.C.No.135 of 2018 on the file of learned Additional Mahila Court, Madurai.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Thirumal
For R1 : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.P.Veerasamy

COMMON ORDER


These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.69 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, and C.C.No.135 of 2018 on the file of learned Additional Mahila Court, Madurai.

2.The second respondent / defacto complainant as well as the petitioners in both petitions are present before this Court and they are identified by the respective learned counsel and both the parties have filed a joint compromise memos stating that the matter has been amicably resolved between themselves and the second respondent / defacto complainant in both petitions are not willing to prosecute the case and therefore, the petitioners in both petitions would pray for quashing the proceedings in C.C.No.69 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, and C.C.No.135 of 2018 on the file of learned Additional Mahila Court, Madurai.

3.The compromise memos are filed before this Court and the parties along with their respective counsel are also personally present before this Court and the defacto complainant in both petitions have represented before this Court that the matter has been settled amicably between the parties and the petitioners have paid a sum of Rs.35 Lakhs to the second respondent as permanent alimony

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) who was present in the Court had also verified their identity and would state that he has no objection for the above compromise.

5.In view of the compromise, this Court find that it is a fit case for quashing the charge sheets.



6.In the result, by recording the compromise, the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.69 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, and C.C.No.135 of 2018 on the file of Additional Mahila Court, Madurai are quashed. The joint compromise memos shall form part and parcel of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS I)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

trp

Encl: Photocopy of Compromise Memo attached.

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Vadipatti.
- 2.The Judge, Additional Mahila Court,
Madurai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Samayanallur,
Madurai District.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Anna Nagar Police Station,
Madurai City.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+2 CC to M/s.V.THIRUMAL, Advocate (SR-8280[F] & SR-8281[F] dated 24/02/2022)

Crl.O.P. (MD) Nos.2971 & 2973 of 2021

23.02.2022

MGJ(24.03.2022) 3P 8C