



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.03.2022

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.R.C(MD)No.193 of 2022

P.Pavendan

... Revision Petitioner/
Complainant

Vs.

1. R.Babu Rajendran
The then Registrar,
Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirapalli.
2. P.Manisankar
The then Vice Chancellor,
Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirapalli.
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thiruverambur,
Tiruchirapalli District.

... Respondents/
Respondents


Prayer: Criminal Revision Petition is filed under Section 397 r/w 401 of Cr.P.C., to call for the records relating to the order passed by the learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruchirapalli in Crl.M.P.No.4133 of 2021, dated 12.11.2021 and set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Mani

For Respondents : Mrs.M.Aasha
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R.3

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed as against the order passed by the learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruchirapalli in Crl.M.P.No.4133 of 2021, dated 12.11.2021 and thereby, dismissing the private complaint filed by the petitioner against the respondents herein.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was doing his Ph.D in Bishop Heber College and without completing the Ph.D, he get the employment in the Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation, which came to know to the University through the anonymous letter and therefore, conducted an enquiry and get the report from the Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation and conformed that the petitioner was employed in part time in the Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation.

3. As the above, the first respondent had imposed the punishment of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) penalty and the full time research is converted to the part time Ph.D. Therefore, according to the petitioner the above said proceedings is harassing the petitioner by the reason that, he belongs to the SC/CT community. When the petitioner approached the first respondent and requested to absolve the punishment, but the first respondent abused him in the language in the name of caste and purposely restrained the petitioner to complete the Ph.D. Therefore, he lodged a complaint before the third respondent. No action has been taken and he filed a private complaint. The third respondent had rightly enquired the matter and concluded as 'mistake of fact'. Therefore, the Court below rightly rejected the petition filed by the petitioner and dismiss the petition. This Court finds no infirmity or illegality order passed by the Court below. This Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

mga

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. I Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirapalli.

2. The Registrar,
Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirapalli.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



3. The Vice Chancellor,
Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirapalli.

4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thiruverambur,
Tiruchirapalli District.

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Copy to
The Section Officer,
Criminal Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.R.C(MD)No.193 of 2022
01.03.2022

RK(21/04/2022) 3P 8C