



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/02/2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.3332 of 2022

S.V.Ramkumar

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Pandhalkudi Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.20 of 2022).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Geetha, C. Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.R.Sivakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.20 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused No.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC, in Cr.No.20 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a property dispute between the parties, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that there was property dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. He would further submit that no one was injured in this case.

5.Admittedly, the defacto complainant is the own brother of the accused.

6.Considering the fact that there existed civil dispute between the parties and also the facts that no one was injured, that except the offence under Section 506(ii) IPC, other offences are bailable in nature and that the petitioner is not having any previous case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, but with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aruppukkottai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
16/02/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.I)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

das

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARUPPUKKOTTAI.
 2. -DO- THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
 3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PANDHALKUDI POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.
- +1. CC to M/S.ANGUSAMY V Advocate SR.No.1180

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3332 of 2022
Date :16/02/2022