



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.02.2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice **K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD) . No.2813 of 2022

Karthick @ Karthi

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Alangulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.06 of 2022)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Iniyavan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.


PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.06 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A.1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 427, 323, 294(b) and 448 IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.06 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was some wordy quarrel between the accused and the defacto complainant's younger son, due to which, the petitioner and other accused attacked the defacto complainant, abused her in filthy language and also assaulted her and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the second accused was already granted anticipatory bail by this



Court and that all the other accused were released on bail by the jurisdictional Magistrate.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the injured was already discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts that the injured was already discharged from the hospital, that the second accused was already granted anticipatory bail by this Court, that all the other accused were released on bail by the jurisdictional Magistrate and that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police for a period of thirty (30) days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)if the accused / petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

09/02/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SATTUR.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALANGULAM POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2813 of 2022
Date :09/02/2022

CSM
MK/VR/SAR.II/17.02.2022/3P/5C