



HCP(MD)No.174 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 30.08.2022

CORAM

The Honourable Mr. Justice **P.N.PRAKASH**
and
The Honourable Mrs. Justice **R.HEMALATHA**

H.C.P.(MD)No.174 of 2022

Divya

... Petitioner/ wife of
the detenu

Vs

State represented by

1.The Additional Principal Secretary to Government
Government of Tamil Nadu
Home, Prohibition and Excise IX
Secretariat, George Fort, Chennai-9.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
O/o. the District Collector and District Magistrate
Madurai District, Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Madurai.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records pertaining to the detention order in Detention Order BCDFGISSSV.No.34/2021 dated 30.12.2021 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's husband, namely



HCP(MD)No.174 of 2022

Vimalkumar, son of Dharmaputhiran, male, aged 38 years, who is detained in Central Prison, Madurai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.K.Azhagarsamy

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **R.HEMALATHA, J.**]

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Vimalkumar, aged about 38 years, S/o.Dharmaputhiran. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Detention Order No.34/BCDFGISSSV/2021 dated 30.12.2021 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus



HCP(MD)No.174 of 2022

Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 30.12.2021. The petitioner made a representation dated 11.01.2022. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 13.01.2022. The remarks were duly received on 14.03.2022. Thereafter, the Government



HCP(MD)No.174 of 2022

considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 18.04.2022.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 59 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, out of which, there were 21 days Government holidays and hence there was an inordinate delay 38 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 14.03.2022 and there was a delay of 34 days, in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, there were 12 days Government holidays and hence, there was inordinate delay of 22 days in considering the representation.

7. In **Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244)**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.



WEB COURT

8. In **Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145)**, a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In **Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others**, reported in **1980 (2) SCC 321**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 38 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 22 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Electricity, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

11. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Detention Order No.34/BCDFGISSSV/2021 dated



HCP(MD)No.174 of 2022

30.12.2021 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz.,
Vimalkumar, S/o.Dharmaputhiran, aged about 38 years, is directed to be
released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any
other case.

(P.N.P.,J.) (R.H.,J.)
30.08.2022

Internet : Yes

RR

To

- 1.The Additional Principal Secretary to Government
Government of Tamil Nadu
Home, Prohibition and Excise IX
Secretariat, George Fort, Chennai-9.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
O/o. the District Collector and District Magistrate
Madurai District, Madurai.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.174 of 2022

P.N.PRAKASH,J.
and
R.HEMALATHA,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.174 of 2022

30.08.2022