



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.03.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

H.C.P.(MD) No.155 of 2022

V.Deepa ... Petitioner/Wife of the Detenue

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Ammayanaickanur Police Station,
Dindigul District. ... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to direct the respondents to produce the person or body of the detenue, namely, Vetrivel, S/o.Krishnasamy, aged about 57 years and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Viji

For Respondents : Mr.S.Ravi
Additional Public Prosecutor


O R D E R

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
AND
N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.

The petitioner seeks for production of the person of her husband Vetrivel, who is said to be missing since three months.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. Mr.S.Ravi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions, would submit that the claim of the petitioner is that she is the wife of the detenue itself is incorrect. According to the respondent Police, the petitioner and the detenue were in live-in relationship. It is also stated that the first marriage between



the detinue and his wife is still subsisting. It is also stated that the detinue has left the house of the petitioner, since she insisted him to transfer the property in her name. This being the case of voluntary leaving, there is no case of illegal custody or illegal detention. Hence, this Habeas Corpus Petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CRL SIDE)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

rm

Note In view of the present lock down owing
: to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of
the order may be utilized for official
purposes, but, ensuring that the copy
of the order that is presented is the
correct copy, shall be the
responsibility of the
advocate/litigant concerned.

To:

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ammayanaickanur Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

H.C.P. (MD) No.155 of 2022

01.03.2022

KS (CO)

TR(17.03.2022) 2P 4C