



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07/02/2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.2535 of 2022

1. Thulasi Ram
2. Kasi Saravana Mothilal ... Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

Vs.

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.34 of 2022. ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.Dhana Aravindh Balaji, V.A. Advocate.
for M/S.Dhana Law Associates.

For Respondent : M/s.R.Sivakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.34 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused 1 and 2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC and Section 4(1) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.34 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are close relatives, that there existed canal dispute between the parties, due to that, on 30.01.2022, the petitioners had scolded the defacto complainant with filthy language, assaulted and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would also submit that the petitioners are not having any previous case and no one was injured in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there was canal dispute between the parties. He would further submit that the petitioners are not having any previous case.

5.Considering the fact that there existed canal dispute between the parties, that no one was injured, that except the offence under Section 506(ii) IPC and Section 4(1) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, all other offences are bailable in nature, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
07/02/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.III)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

das

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVADANAI.
2. -DO- THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THONDI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.DHANA LAW ASSOCIATES Advocate SR.No.4608

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2535 of 2022
Date :07/02/2022