



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 10/02/2022

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.1912 of 2022

X.Ananthan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station,
Virudhunagar.
Crime No.10 of 2022.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Suresh,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthu Manikkam,
Government Advocate (Ciminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.10 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b) and 323 of IPC r/w 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.10 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was family dispute between the parties, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted her and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there was family dispute between the parties, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant, assaulted her and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital.



5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that there existed family dispute between the parties and also the facts that except the offence under Section 4 of TNPWH Act, all other offences are bailable in nature, that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents as stated by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

10/02/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
VIRUDHUNAGAR.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VIRUDHUNAGAR BAZAAR POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to Mr.R.PONKARTHIKEYAN, Advocate (SR-1014[I] dated 11/02/2022)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1912 of 2022

Date :10/02/2022

das

MK/SBN/SAR.I/15.02.2022/3P/6C