



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.04.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. (MD) No.1437 of 2022

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos.1248, 1249 & 3226 of 2022

A.Senthil Kumaran

... Petitioner

vs.

- 1.Tamil Nadu Generation &
Distribution Corporation Limited
(TANGEDCO)
Rep.by the Chairman cum
Managing Director
10th Floor, NPKRR Maaligai
144, Anna Salai
Chennai-2
- 2.The Chief Engineer / Personnel
Tamil Nadu Generation &
Distribution Corporation Limited
(TANGEDCO)
Administrative Branch
8th Floor, NPKRR Maaligai
144, Anna Salai, Chennai-2
- 3.The Chief Engineer / Distribution /
Madurai Region
Tamil Nadu Generation &
Distribution Corporation Limited
(TANGEDCO)
Madurai Regional Office
K.Pudur, Madurai-7
- 4.The Deputy Chief Engineer
Tamil Nadu Generation &
Distribution Corporation Limited
(TANGEDCO)
Administrative Branch
8th Floor, NPKRR Maaligai
144, Anna Salai
Chennai-2
- 5.The Superintending Engineer
Madurai Electricity Distribution Circle
Tamil Nadu Generation &
Distribution Corporation Limited



(TANGEDCO)
Madurai District

6.T.Chandran
Assistant Executive Engineer /
Operation & Maintenance
Kamuthi
Ramanathaputam Electricity Distribution Circle
Ramanathapuram District

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of certiorari calling for the records pertaining to the Impugned Order in Memo No.080968/G.11/G.111/2021-9, dated 28.12.2021, on the file of the Respondent No.4 and the Impugned Order in memo No.080968/G.11/G.111/2021-1, dated 28.12.2021, on the file of the Respondent No.4 in so far as the petitioner is concerned and the consequential impugned order in Memo No.018522/750/CE/D/MDU/SADO/A.1/Class II/2021, dated 31.12.2021, on the file of the Respondent No.3 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.Lajapathi Roy.T.
For Respondents : Mr.S.Arivalagan
Standing Counsel for R1 to R5
Mr.T.Sakthi Kumaran for R6

O R D E R

When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner made a submission that he is not pressing the writ petition.

2. When the petitioner withdraws the writ petition, it is to be construed as he withdraws his entire claim itself in respect of the grounds raised in the writ petition.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

krk



WP(MD) No.1437 of 2022

+1 CC to M/s.T. LAJAPATHI ROY, Advocate (SR-21498[F] dated
27/04/2022)

W.P.(MD) No.1437 of 2022
and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.1248, 1249 & 3226 of 2022
26.04.2022

WEB COPY

GM(CO)
TR(06.05.2022) 3P 2C