



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.01.2022

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN**

WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1395 of 2022

1. Selvam @ Packiaselvam
2. Ramar
3. Rajesh @ Rajeshkumar
4. Arumugachamy @ Premkumar
5. Rajendrakumar
6. Anbuselvan
7. Elango @ Elango Raja
8. Velmurugan
9. Sathyamoorthy @ Sathiyamoorthy
10. Ramaiya
11. Ramamoorthy
12. Muthukumar
13. Anadhaprabu
14. Rajeshwari
15. Rajasekar @ Rajasekaran
16. Subramani @ Subramanian
17. Chellakarungu
18. Rajkumar @ Rajakumar
19. Ajithkumar
20. Arunkumar
21. Pandi @ Pandishwaran @ Pandeewaran
22. Makeshabu @ Makeshabu
23. Yuvaraj @ Uvarajan

... Petitioners /  
Accused Nos.1 to 23

Vs.

1. The Inspector of Police,  
Peraiyur police station,  
Ramanathapuram District.  
(Crime No.140 of 2021)

... 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent / Complainant

2. Boopathi

... 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent /  
Defacto Complainant

3. Murugavalli
4. Pazhani Vel
5. Velmurugan

... Respondents 3 to 5 / Victims

**Prayer:** Criminal Original petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned FIR in Crime No.140 of 2021 dated 13.10.2021 pending on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.



For Petitioner : Mr.R.Shankar Ganesh  
For R-1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,  
Government Advocate.  
For R-2 to R5 : Ms.M.Beulah Rani

\* \* \*

**O R D E R**

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2. This criminal original petition has been filed for quashing the First Information Report in Crime No.140 of 2021 registered on the file of the first respondent.

3. The defacto complainant Boopathi as well as the victims are present before this Court through video conferencing. They have been duly identified by Mr.R.Muthu Marudhu, HC-1550, attached to the first respondent police station and the same has been duly confirmed by Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar, learned Government Advocate. The parties have filed a joint compromise memo. The defacto complainant states that he does not want to pursue the matter.

4. Since the defacto complainant himself does not want to pursue the matter, no purpose will be served in keeping the impugned prosecution alive. Therefore, the impugned First Information Report is quashed. This criminal original petition is allowed. The joint compromise memo shall form part of the record.

Sd/-

Deputy Registrar (A/Cs)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

PMU


Enclosed herewith Copy of the Joint Compromise Memo

**Note:** In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

**To:**

1. The Inspector of Police,  
Peraiyur police station,  
Ramanathapuram District.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R.SHANKAR GANESH, Advocate ( SR-2912[F] dated  
28/01/2022 )

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.1395 of 2022  
27.01.2022

\_RD(28.02.2022) 3P 4C