



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 31/01/2022

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGO VAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.984 of 2022

1. PRATHAP @ PRATHAB,
2. PRASATH, ... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

VS

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PAPANASAM POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

(CRIME NO.803 OF 2021.) ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONERS: MR.R.L.DHILIPAN PANDIAN,
ADVOCATE.

FOR RESPONDENT : MR.S.MANIKANDAN,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL
Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 803 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 379 IPC r/w 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulations) Act, 1957, in Crime No.803 of 2021, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons had illegally transported 2 cement bags of river sand without any valid permit in the vehicle. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents, that they are not having any previous case at their credit.



4.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners are not having any previous case at their credit and the investigation is not yet completed.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, that the petitioners are not having any previous case at their credit, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Papanasam, Thanjavur District and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

8.The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
31/01/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2022
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.


PNM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1.THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PAPANASAM, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.



3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PAPANASAM POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.984 of 2022
Date :31/01/2022

GC/PN/SAR-2(03.02.2022) 3P 5C