



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.04.2022

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HON`BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.7831 of 2012

and

M.P.(MD)No.1 of 2012

G.Kathirvel

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Madurai.

2.M.Murugan

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the 1st respondent from extending the license period in favour of the 2nd respondent without conducting public auction.

For Petitioner :Mr.T.Balaji

For Respondents :Mr.R.Murali
for R.1

O R D E R

When the matter is taken up for hearing today, learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the relief sought for in this writ petition has become infructuous. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

2.In view of the aforesaid submission and the endorsement so made, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS I)

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

gk



To

The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

+1 CC to M/s.R. MURALI, Advocate (SR-18444[F] dated 13/04/2022)

W.P(MD)No.7831 of 2012

MGJ(26.04.2022) 2P 3C