



W.P.(MD)No.17740 of 2013

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.08.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

W.P (MD).No.17740 of 2013

K.Mariammal

... Petitioner

VS.

**1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.**

**2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.**

**3.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.**

4.R.Thangaselvi

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 2 and 3 not to compel the petitioner to execute the illegal instrumentation of the petitioner's property in



W.P.(MD)No.17740 of 2013

WEB COPY

favour of the petitioner's daughter-in-law and not to harass the petitioner and her family members in the guise of petition enquiry.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Rajamohan

For Respondents : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor for R1 to R3
No appearance for R4

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 2 and 3 not to compel the petitioner to execute the illegal instrumentation of the petitioner's property in favour of the petitioner's daughter-in-law and not to harass the petitioner and her family members under the guise of the petition enquiry.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that the petitioner and her husband, who are the in-laws of the fourth respondent as well as the fourth respondent had appeared for enquiry and that since divorce was granted, the property given for the petitioner's son was already given to the fourth respondent and since the whereabouts of the petitioner's son is not known, they



W.P.(MD)No.17740 of 2013

WEB COPY

are not in a position to proceed further and as such, no further action is necessary.

He would further submit that recording the submissions made by the petitioner as well as the fourth respondent, the complaint was ordered to be closed and he has also produced the copy of the closure report.

3. Recording the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

25.08.2022

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
csm

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Aruppukottai, Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Aruppukottai, Virudhunagar District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.17740 of 2013

K.MURALI SHANKAR, J.

esm

W.P (MD).No.17740 of 2013

25.08.2022