



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )  
Date : 02/02/2022  
PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGO VAN**

**CRL OP(MD) . No.721 of 2022**

Alagu Ramakrishnan

... Petitioner/Accused (Single)

**Vs**

The State rep.by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Eral Police Station,  
Thoothukudi District.  
Cr.No. 350 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.ANAND,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.KOTTAI CHAMY,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.


**PRAYER :-** For Bail in Crime No.350 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

**ORDER :** The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested on 12.01.2022 for the offences under Sections 354A(2), 506(ii)IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.350 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.Originally this petition was filed under the caption for 'Anticipatory Bail'. During the pendency of the petition, when the matter was taken up for hearing on 12.01.2022, it was stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was remanded on 12.01.2022. Hence, *suo-motu* this anticipatory bail petition was converted into regular bail petition and interim bail was also granted. On that ground, this matter is listed today.

3.The case of the prosecution is that on 21.12.2021 the petitioner touched the defacto complainant with hands and asked her phone number. The petitioner called her to home on the next day. The *defacto* complainant scolded the petitioner. So, the petitioner threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that because of property issue, the sons of the petitioner repeatedly given false complaints against the petitioner through several persons. Even after the release of the petitioner on interim bail, one another complaint lodged against the petitioner, on the basis of complaint given by one of the employee of the petitioner, at the instigation of his sons. In this case also, due to property issue with his sons, trouble arose between the parties, this false case has been foisted against the petitioner. The petitioner is also taking every steps to settle the issue.

5.Reading of the petition shows that there is property dispute between the petitioner and his sons. Unnecessarily, in the dispute between the sons and father, third parties are being used.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence and dispute between the parties, interim bail that was granted by this Court is made absolute.

sd/-

02/02/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

02/02/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

**Note** :In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

**TO**

- 1 THE OFFICER INCHARGE  
SUB JAIL, KOVILPATTI, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
ERAI POLICE STATION,  
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

**ORDER**

**IN**

CRL OP(MD) No.721 of 2022

Date :02/02/2022

SA/PA/SAR.4/02.02.2022/2P/4C