



W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **05.08.2022**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

and

M.P.(MD)No.1 of 2013

Chithambaram

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Pudukottai.

2.The Tahsildar,
Ilupur, Pudukottai District.

3.Rasu

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to rectify the mistake crept in transfer of patta for S.F.No.100/1 Punjai 0.073.0 Ares, S.F.No.96/3 Nanjai 0.09.50 Ares, S.F.No.96/9 Nanjai 0.07.50 Ares and S.F.No.99/1 Punjai 0.21.0 Ares of Panampatti Village, Ilupur Taluk in favour of the third respondent on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 04.06.2013.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Ganapathi Subramanian
For Respondents : Mr.Sasikumar,
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 & R2
No appearance for R3

ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed seeking Mandamus directing the second respondent to rectify the mistake that has been crept in with regard to patta No.212.

2. According to the writ petitioner, he is the owner of the property in Survey Nos.100/1, 96/3, 96/9 and 99/1, for which, patta was granted in patta No.212.

3. However, without any order being passed, suddenly for all the four survey numbers, patta was granted in favour of the one Rasu in the same patta number.

4. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the said mistake has been crept in while transferring pattas from manual to computer.



W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

WEB COPY

5. Though the third respondent has been served and appeared through counsel, there is no representation today.

6. Looking into the contention of the writ petitioner, it is clear that the Tahsildar has transferred the patta standing in the name of the writ petitioner to the name of the third respondent.

7. Though it has been contended that it is clearly a mistake while transferring it from manual to computer, it cannot be decided by this Court.

8. In view of the above said facts, the petitioner is directed to approach the concerned Revenue Divisional Officer (RDO) and file an application to ventilate his grievance and in case, such an application is filed, the same shall be disposed of within a period of four months from the date of filing of such an application after giving due opportunity to the third respondent herein.



W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

WEB COPY

9. With the above observation, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

05.08.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
csm

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Pudukottai.
- 2.The Tahsildar,
Ilupur, Pudukottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

R.VIJAYAKUMAR ,J.

csn

Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.14569 of 2013

05.08.2022