



W.P.(MD).No.10199 of 2012

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.07.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P.(MD).No.10199 of 2012

A.Subramanian

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary to Government,
Commercial Taxes and Registration Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.

2.M/s.Sri Ram Chits Tamil Nadu Limited,
represented by its Foreman,
No.32, E.V.S.Street,
Renga Nagar, Srirangam,
Trichy – 6,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the proceedings made in Letter No. 10339/G/2011-1, dated 02.09.2011, on the file of 1st respondent, to quash the same, and further, to direct the 1st respondent to take on file the petitioner's appeal and dispose the same within the stipulated period as may be fixed by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.10199 of 2012

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sundar
For R1 : Mr.D.Sasi Kumar
Additional Government Pleader
For R2 : Mr.V.Nagarajan

ORDER

The present Writ Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the first respondent herein, wherein, the appeal filed by the writ petitioner under Section 70 of the Chit Funds Act, 1982, has been dismissed on the ground of delay.

2.According to the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the execution proceedings have also got terminated and nothing survives in the Writ Petition.

3.The said fact is recorded and the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

04.07.2022

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

Tmg

2/4



W.P.(MD).No.10199 of 2012

WEB COPY

To
The Secretary to Government,
Commercial Taxes and Registration Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.10199 of 2012

R.VIJAYAKUMAR ,J.

Tmg

Note :

In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

Order made in
W.P.(MD).No.10199 of 2012

04.07.2022