



3.It is true that the existence of a electric pole on the running of overhead lines does constitute some kind of hardship for the petitioner. But I cannot sympathise with the petitioner because the petitioner purchased the property in question only in the year 2021. The electric pole in question has been in existence for more than two decades. It is true that the petitioner can request the electricity authorities for shifting of electric pole and redrawing of the electric lines. But then, the petitioner cannot demand the same as matter of right. Only if the authority finds the petitioner's request to be feasible of compliance and there is no objection from any third party, the petitioner's request can be accepted and not otherwise. A Writ of Mandamus can be issued only if the petitioner is having any legal right and the authority is under legal obligation. Such is not the case here. I do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order and the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

/07/2022

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ias

TO

1.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Anna Salai,
Chennai 600 002.

2.The Assistant Engineer,
Tamilnadu Electricity Board,
Kalayarkovil,
Sivagangai District.

+1 CC to M/s.H. ARUMUGAM, Advocate (SR-28819[F] dated 29/06/2022)

+1 CC to M/s.S. DEENADHAYALAN, Advocate (SR-28850[F]
dated 29/06/2022)

W . P (MD) No . 343 of 2022
27.06.2022

NA (CO)
GC (07.07.2022) 2P 5C