



CRP(NPD)(MD)No.1122 of 2011

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 04.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

CRP(NPD)(MD)No.1122 of 2011

and

M.P.(MD)No.1 of 2011

Krishnamoorthy

... Petitioner

versus

1. Perumal Naidu (died)

2. V.P.Nagaraj

3. V.P.Jeyakumar

4. V.P.Parasuraman Siva (died)

5. V.P.Senthilkumar

6. S.Thilagavathi

7. K.Rajeswari

(R2 to R7 are brought on record as

Legal heirs of the deceased sole respondent

vide order dated 20.12.2021)

8. Chitra

9. Akilandeswari

10. Minor Monisha

(R8 to R10 are brought on record as

Legal heirs of the deceased

4th respondent vide order dated 20.12.2021)

... Respondents



CRP(NPD)(MD)No.1122 of 2011

WEB COPY Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the Judgment and Decree dated 21.04.2011 made in R.C.A.No.102 of 2004 on the file of the Rent Control Appellate Authority, Tiruchirappalli, confirming the order dated 20.08.2004 made in R.C.O.P.No.3 of 2003 on the file of the District Munsif, Tiruchirapalli.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For R2, R3, R5 to R10 : M/s.J.Maria Roseline

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed against the Judgment and Decree dated 21.04.2011, passed by the Rent Control Appellate Authority, Tiruchirappalli, in R.C.A.No.102 of 2004, confirming the order dated 20.08.2004 passed by the District Munsif, Tiruchirapalli, in R.C.O.P.No.3 of 2003.

2. This Civil Revision Petition has been filed in the year 2011.

When the matter came up for hearing 30.09.2019, it was represented



CRP(NPD)(MD)No.1122 of 2011

that the sole respondent died and for taking steps, the matter stood adjourned for taking steps. Thereafter, on 13.04.2022, when the matter was taken up for hearing, there was no representation for the petitioner. Subsequently, on 23.06.2022, at the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter was adjourned to 23.06.2022. Again, when the matter came up for hearing on 30.06.2022, there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption for dismissal. Even today, there is no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

04.07.2022

ogy



WEB COPY



CRP(NPD)(MD)No.1122 of 2011

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

CRP(NPD)(MD)No.1122 of 2011

04.07.2022