



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 03/03/2022

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR
CRL OP(MD) . No.79 of 2022

WEB COPY

1.M.Nagarajan
2.Jeyachandran
3.Prabhakaran
4.Dhivya

... Petitioners/Accused (Rank Not Known)

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Ramji Nagar Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli,
Tiruchirappalli District.
Cr.No.383 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

M.Selvam ... Petitioner/Intervener/Defacto Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.Mayilvahala Rajendran C,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.K.Kevinkaran, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.383 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 174 (3) Cr.P.C. @ Sections 304-B and 498(A) of IPC, in Crime No.383 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant, who is the father of the deceased gave a complaint before the respondent police stating that the defacto complainant's daughter namely, Uma Maheswari married the second petitioner-Jeyachandran, that on 22.12.2021 at about 08.30 pm in the night, a day before the occurrence, the daughter of the defacto complainant contacted her father over phone and informed that her father-in-law and her brother-in-law were abusing and causing harassment to her for insisting to make arrangement of jewels so as to attend the



relative's family function and therefore, the defacto complainant pacified his daughter and informed that he would come and meet her on the next day i.e, on 23.12.2021, but, the de-facto complainant had received information that his daughter had committed suicide by self immolation and died on the spot. Hence, the complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) would submit that the petitioners 2 and 4 who were added as accused, after initial investigation, both of them were ordered to be deleted. The said submission is recorded.

4. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with respect to the other petitioners. Hence, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn as against the other petitioners/1 and 3 are concerned.

5. In view of the same, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

sd/-

03/03/2022

/ TRUE COPY /

04/03/2022

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
RAMJI NAGAR POLICE STATION,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.KEVINKARAN Advocate SR.No.1687

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.79 of 2022

Date : 03/03/2022

RS/SBN/SAR.1(04.03.2022) 2P-4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>