



W.A.Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **10.10.2022**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.**JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN**

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.**JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU**

Writ Appeal Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

and

CMP Nos.1525 & 1526 of 2021

W.P.No.380 of 2021

- 1.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.
- 2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tiruvannamalai.
- 3.The Headmaster,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Vadailuppai, Cheyyar Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.

..Appellants

Vs.

N.Kanniyappan

.. Respondent

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, against the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.34885/2013 dated 20.12.2013.



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

For Appellants : Mrs. S.Mythreye Chandru
Special Govt. Pleader (Edn.)

For Respondent : Mr.G.Sankaran

W.P.No.381 of 2021

1.The Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 9.

2.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai – 6.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Kovilpatti, Tuticorin District.

..Appellants

Vs.

1.R.Kasthuri

2.The Secretary,
Kammavar (G) Hr.Sec. School,
Kalugumalai 628 523, Thoothukudi District.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, against the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.18549/2013 dated 18.11.2013.

For Appellants : Mrs. S.Mythreye Chandru
Special Govt. Pleader (Edn.)

For Respondent : Mr.G.Sankaran for R1



W.A.Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

COMMON JUDGMENT

WEB COPY (*Judgment of the Court was delivered by R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.*)

These Writ Appeals have been posted upon a reference being answered by a Full Bench of this Court in WA No.3674 of 2019 etc. batch dated 29.04.2022. A reference was made to a Full Bench in view of the conflict between two Division Bench judgments of this Court, one in WA (MD) Nos.701 and 769 of 2015 etc., dated 15.07.2015 (*The Director of School Education and others v. S.Amalraj*) holding that a teacher is entitled to a third set of incentive increment on account of acquiring higher qualification and the other in WA No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 (*The Director of School Education and others v. V.Dhanapal*) taking a contrary view and concluding that a teacher, during the entire tenure of service, would be entitled to only two sets of incentive increments and nothing more.

2. Upon a reference being made, the Full Bench had answered the issue concluding that the judgment of the Division Bench in WA. No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 reflects the correct position of law. After considering the various Government Orders issued on the subject, the Full Bench had observed as follows:



“12. A perusal of the above order puts the issue beyond pale of doubt that as per the policy of the Government, a teacher for the entire period of his/her service shall be granted two incentives (four increments) only. Therefore, there cannot be any dispute regarding the fact that a Secondary Grade Teacher or B.T.Assistant or Post Graduate Teacher during the entire period of service as a teacher, is entitled to get only two incentive increments. Taking note of the same only, the Division Bench in W.A.No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 (The Director of School Education and others v. V.Dhanapal) has categorically held that the acquisition of an M.Phil. qualification does not entitle a teacher for a third incentive increment, in view of G.O.Ms.No.1024, Education, Science and Technology Department, dated 09.12.1993 restricting the number of incentive increments to two and therefore, any teacher is not entitled to a third incentive increment.”

3. An attempt is made by Mr.Sasidharan and Mr.Ganesan, appearing for some of the teachers to contend that those teachers who have obtained the additional qualification prior to 09.12.1993, namely the date on which G.O.Ms.Nos.1023 and 1024 came to be issued would be entitled to



W.A.Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

incentive increments, since the number of increments was limited only by the said two Government Orders. We are unable to accept the said contention of the learned counsel appearing for some of the respondents because the Full Bench has categorically held that any teacher during the entire period of his/her tenure would be entitled only to two sets of incentive increments.

4. In view of the categorical pronouncement of the Full Bench extracted above, we cannot take any other view. Hence the Writ Appeals filed by the Government will stand allowed and the Writ Petition will stand dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition are closed.

(R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.) (K.KUMARESH BABU, J.)
10.10.2022

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
speaking order/non speaking order
jv/kkn



W.A.Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

WEB COPY

To:-

- 1.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai – 600 006.
- 2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tiruvannamalai.
- 3.The Headmaster,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Vadailluppai, Cheyyar Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.
- 4.The Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 9.
- 5.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai – 6.
- 6.The Chief Educational Officer,
Kovilpatti, Tuticorin District.



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.380 & 381 of 2021

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
and
K.KUMARESH BABU, J.
(jv)

Writ Appeal Nos.380 & 381 of 2021
and
CMP Nos.1525 & 1526 of 2021

10.10.2022