



W.P.No.28426 of 2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.10.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

Writ Petition No.28426 of 2017
WMP Nos.30529 & 30530 of 2017

S.Selvarani

..Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director of Elementary Education,
College Road, Chennai 6.

2. The District Elementary Educational Officer,
Tiruppur, Tiruppur District 641 601.

3. The Assistant Elementary Educational Officer,
Tiruppur North, Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District 641 601.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking to issue a Writ of Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings issued by the 3rd respondent Assistant Elementary Educational Officer in Sey. Mu.No.1875/A1/2014 dated 29.6.2017 (Cancelling the incentive increment sanctioned to the petitioner and further directing the petitioner to repay the two advance incentive increments already paid w.e.f. 18.1.2013 for acquiring the additional qualification of



W.P.No.28426 of 2017

M.Phil Degree) quash the same and further direct the respondents herein to continue to pay salary of the petitioner with third incentive increment which the petitioner had been drawing since 18.1.2013 with all attendant benefits.

For Petitioner : Ms.M.Mary Sowmi Rexi
for M/s.Isaac Chambers

For Respondents : Mrs.S.Mythreye Chandru
Spl. Govt. Pleader, for RR 1 to 3

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.)

The Writ Petition has been posted upon a reference being answered by a Full Bench of this Court in WA No.3674 of 2019 etc. batch dated 29.04.2022. A reference was made to a Full Bench in view of the conflict between two Division Bench judgments of this Court, one in WA (MD) Nos.701 and 769 of 2015 etc., dated 15.07.2015 (***The Director of School Education and others v. S.Amalraj***) holding that a teacher is entitled to a third set of incentive increment on account of acquiring higher qualification and the other in WA No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 (***The Director of School Education and others v. V.Dhanapal***) taking a contrary view and concluding that a teacher, during the entire tenure of service,



W.P.No.28426 of 2017

would be entitled to only two sets of incentive increments and nothing more.

WEB COPY

2. Upon a reference being made, the Full Bench had answered the issue concluding that the judgment of the Division Bench in WA. No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 reflects the correct position of law. After considering the various Government Orders issued on the subject, the Full Bench had observed as follows:

“12. A perusal of the above order puts the issue beyond pale of doubt that as per the policy of the Government, a teacher for the entire period of his/her service shall be granted two incentives (four increments) only. Therefore, there cannot be any dispute regarding the fact that a Secondary Grade Teacher or B.T.Assistant or Post Graduate Teacher during the entire period of service as a teacher, is entitled to get only two incentive increments. Taking note of the same only, the Division Bench in W.A.No.1664 of 2016 dated 29.06.2018 (The Director of School Education and others v. V.Dhanapal) has



WEB COPY



W.P.No.28426 of 2017

categorically held that the acquisition of an M.Phil. qualification does not entitle a teacher for a third incentive increment, in view of G.O.Ms.No.1024, Education, Science and Technology Department, dated 09.12.1993 restricting the number of incentive increments to two and therefore, any teacher is not entitled to a third incentive increment.”

3. An attempt is made by Mr.Sasidharan and Mr.Ganesan, appearing for some of the teachers to contend that those teachers who have obtained the additional qualification prior to 09.12.1993, namely the date on which G.O.Ms.Nos.1023 and 1024 came to be issued would be entitled to incentive increments, since the number of increments was limited only by the said two Government Orders. We are unable to accept the said contention of the learned counsel appearing for some of the respondents because the Full Bench has categorically held that any teacher during the entire period of his/her tenure would be entitled only to two sets of incentive increments.



W.P.No.28426 of 2017

4. In view of the categorical pronouncement of the Full Bench extracted above, we cannot take any other view. Hence the Writ Petition filed by the petitioner seeking incentive increments will stand dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

**(R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.) (K.KUMARESH BABU,
10.10.2022**

J.)

Index: Yes/no

Internet: Yes/no

speaking order/non speaking order

jv

To

1. The Director of Elementary Education,
College Road, Chennai 6.
2. The District Elementary Educational Officer,
Tiruppur, Tiruppur District 641 601.
3. The Assistant Elementary Educational Officer,
Tiruppur North, Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District 641 601.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.28426 of 2017

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.

and

K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

(jv)

Writ Petition No.28426 of 2017
WMP Nos.30529 & 30530 of 2017

10.10.2022