



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.04.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.D.AUDIKESSAVALU

W.P.No.33846 of 2019

A.R.Pandimeenal

...Petitioner

-vs-

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary,
Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. The Director of Elementary Education,
College Road,
Chennai - 6.
3. The District Educational Officer,
Thirupathur,
Sivagangai - 630 211.
4. The Block Educational Officer-II,
Thirupathur,
Sivagangai District.
5. The Correspondent,
S.P.D. Kalasalai Middle School,
Kandavrayanpatti,
Thirupathur Taluk
Sivagangai District.

...Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the entire records connected with the impugned order passed by the Second Respondent vide Na. Ka. No. 8084/G3/2019, dated 02.08.2019, and quash the same and directing the Second and Third Respondents to approve of the appointment of the Petitioner, as Secondary Grade Teacher with effect from 07.02.1996, in the Fifth Respondent School, by continuing earlier scale of pay and sanction selection grade and special grade with effect from 07.02.2006 and 07.02.2016 respectively with all consequential benefits in the light of the order passed in W.P. No. 16236 of 1998 dated 11.06.2008.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr. S.N.Ravichandran
For Respondents : Mr. S.Silambannan
Additional Advocate General
assisted by

Mrs. C.Sangamithirai
Special Government Pleader
(for R1 to R4)

R5 - No appearance

O R D E R

Heard Mr.S.N.Ravichandran, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr.S.Silambannan, Learned Additional Advocate General assisted by Mrs.C.Sangamithirai, Learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the First to Fourth Respondents and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Writ Petition has been filed challenging the Order in Na.Ka.No.8084/G3/2019 dated 02.08.2019 passed by the Second Respondent to consequently direct the Second and Third Respondents to approve the appointment of the Petitioner as Secondary Grade Teacher in the school of the Fifth Respondent with effect from 07.02.1996 by continuing earlier scale of pay and sanction selection grade and special grade with effect from 07.02.2006 and 07.02.2016 respectively with all consequential benefits in the light of the order dated 11.06.2008 in W.P.No. 16236 of 1998 passed by the Court.

3. It is not in dispute that the school of the Fifth Respondent in which the Petitioner was working at the time of his retirement from service is located within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of the Madurai Bench of this Court. The only reason stated for having approached the Principal Seat of this Court instead of approaching the Madurai Bench of this Court is that the office of the First and Second Respondents are situated at Chennai within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of the Principal Seat of this Court. There cannot be any doubt that the First and Fourth Respondents exercise powers for the whole of the State of Tamil Nadu, but that cannot be said to mean as if the cause of action arises within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of the Principal Seat of this Court.

4. In this context, reference may be made to the decision of the Division Bench of this Court in C.Ramesh -vs- Director General of Police, Chennai (Order dated 06.06.2013 in W.P. (MD) No. 8790 of 2013), in which it has been held as follows:-



WEB COPY

"7. Exercise of jurisdiction is based on arising of the cause of action, either in whole or in part in any one of the said Revenue Districts. [See RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS (2001 (2) SCC 294) and B.STALIN Vs. THE REGISTRAR, SUPREME COURT OF INDIA AND OTHERS (2012 (3) LW 489 (FB))].

8. It should be remembered that the part of cause of action must be substantial in nature. The territorial jurisdiction of the Court is linked with the place of accrual of cause of action. [See U.P. RASHTRIYA CHINI MILL ADHIKARI PARISHAD, LUCKNOW Vs. STATE OF U.P. AND OTHERS (1995 (4) SCC 738)].

9. Referring to KUSUM INGOTS & ALLOYS LTD. Vs. UNION OF INDIA (2004 (3) CTC 365), a Full Bench of this Court in SANJOS JEWELLERS Vs. SYNDICATE BANK, BANGALORE AND OTHERS (2007 (5) CTC 305), held as under:- "30. We must, however, remind ourselves that even if a small part of cause of action arises within the territorial jurisdiction of the High Court, the same by itself may not be considered to be a determinative factor compelling the High Court to decide the matter on merit. In appropriate cases, the Court may refuse to exercise its discretionary jurisdiction by invoking the Doctrine of forum conveniens. [See BHAGAT SINGH BUGGA Vs. DEWAN JAGBIR SAWHNEY, AIR 1941 CAL 670 : ILR (1941) 1 CAL 490; MADANLAL JALAN Vs. MADANLAL, 1945 (49) CWN 357: AIR 1949 CAL 495; BHARAT COKING COAL LTD. Vs. JHARIA TALKIES & COLD STORAGE (P) LTD., 1997 CWN 122; S.S.JAIN & CO. Vs. UNION OF INDIA, 1994 (1) CHN 445, and NEW HORIZONS LTD. Vs. UNION OF INDIA, AIR 1994 DEL 126].

10. Question of entertaining a lis disclosing a cause of action or part of cause of action is based on the averments contained in the affidavit etc. At that stage, the truth or otherwise of the averments need not be gone into. But, there must be necessary averments disclosing a cause of action, so that the Court can take cognizance of/entertaining the lis exposed in the petition for taking further action. [See OIL AND NATURAL GAS COMMISSION Vs. UTPAL KUMAR BASU AND OTHERS (1994 (4) SCC 711)]

11. A Court cannot arrogate/assume/confer upon itself a jurisdiction- territorial jurisdiction, when it has no such jurisdiction. Lack of jurisdiction to



WEB COPY

entertain a matter goes to the root of the matter, otherwise whatever action taken or orders passed by the Court becomes a nullity, it is non est and of no consequence at all resulting in wasting of precious public time. Courts are barred from indulging in hypothetical and academic exercises."

Having regard to the aforesaid legal position, viz-a-viz, factual matrix of this case, the cause of action for the Writ Petition, viz., challenging the Order in Na. Ka. No. 8084/G3/2019 dated 02.08.2019 passed by the Second Respondent to consequently direct the Second and Third Respondents to approve the appointment of the Petitioner as Secondary Grade Teacher in the school of the Fifth Respondent with effect from 07.02.1996 by continuing earlier scale of pay and sanction selection grade and special grade with effect from 07.02.2006 to 07.02.2016 respectively with all consequential benefits in the light of the order dated 11.06.2008 in W.P. No. 16236 of 1998 passed by the Court, would have to be necessarily construed as having arisen wholly within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of the Madurai Bench of this Court, notwithstanding that the office of the First and Second Respondents are located in Chennai.

5. When it is pointed out that the Writ Petition cannot be entertained in the Principal Seat of this Court in that backdrop, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw this Writ Petition with liberty to file fresh Writ Petition for the same relief before the Madurai Bench of this Court and he has made an endorsement to that effect in the court record.

In fine, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn granting such liberty. No costs.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

skr

To

1. The Secretary,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. The Director of Elementary Education,
College Road,
Chennai - 6.



3. The District Educational Officer,
Thirupathur,
Sivagangai - 630 211.

WEB COPY

4. The Block Educational Officer-II,
Thirupathur,
Sivagangai District.

5. The Correspondent,
S.P.D. Kalasalai Middle School,
Kandavrayanpatti,
Thirupathur Taluk.

6. The Section Officer,
ER Section
High Court
Madras - 104

+1cc to Mr.S.N.Ravichandran, Advocate, S.R.No.22903

+1cc to the Government Pleader, High Court of Madras,
S.R.No.23667

W.P. No. 33846 of 2019

VG - II (CO)
RN(09/05/2022)