



C.R.P.(P.D).No.4061 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.12.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

C.R.P.(P.D) No. 4061 of 2022

1. Sivakumar

2. Kuppuswamy

3. Kamaraj

4. Kumaresan

5. Murugan

...Petitioners/Defendants

Vs.

1. C. Mayavel

...Respondent/Plaintiff

Prayer:- Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to direct the Learned District Munsif, Gudiyatham, Vellore District, to dispose of the above suit in OS No.78 of 2020 pending before him expeditiously.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Danyakumar



C.R.P.(P.D).No.4061 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Civil Revision Petition is filed to expedite the trial in O.S.No.78 of 2020, pending on the file of the learned District Munsif, Gudiyatham, Vellore District.

2.The defendants are the revision petitioners. The respondent/plaintiff filed a suit against the petitioners for declaration and injunction on 13.08.2020. The grievance of the petitioners is that they are unable to enjoy the suit property due to the intervention of the plaintiff in the suit and the suit is prolonged unnecessarily without any valid reason and thus, the revision petitioners are constrained to move the present petition for expediting the trial.

3.The longevity of the litigation is occurring at the instance of the litigants on many occasions. The contribution of the lawyers also cannot be ignored. Ill advice of the lawyers is also a reason for prolonging the litigation in the Civil Courts. People may slowly loose faith in the system on account of such ill motives which all are knowingly or unknowingly happening in Courts. Until and unless the parties and the lawyers together cooperate for the early



C.R.P.(P.D).No.4061 of 2022

disposal of the litigation, it may not be possible for the Courts to dispose of the same by following the procedures. Lengthy procedures are sometimes contributing to the longevity of the litigations or the filing of unnecessary Interlocutory Applications with an idea to prolong the case, is also a reason for longevity. One set of the legal brains are working to expedite the trial and the other set of legal brains are working for prolongation of the cases. In between this tussle, the litigants are made to suffer and thus, this Court is of an opinion that the Courts have to be vigilant, while dealing with frivolous and unnecessary interlocutory applications or petitions, if any filed by the litigants, during the pendency of the main case. If at all the Courts found that the interlocutory applications are filed with an idea to prolong the matter, then it should be dismissed with maximum cost and by discouraging the litigant to indulge in such activities and the Courts shall proceed forward for the disposal of the main case within a reasonable period of time. The intention of the parties, the relevance or otherwise are to be considered while dealing with such frivolous petitions, if any filed, to prolong the matters. No party should be made to suffer unnecessarily, at the instance of the other party. Equal opportunity being the hallmark, the Court should ensure that no party to the litigation is deprived of their right for speedy disposal of the case.



C.R.P.(P.D).No.4061 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. In the present case, the learned counsel for the revision petitioner contended that the respondent is unnecessarily prolonging the matter and further delay would cause prejudice to their interest, as they are deprived of their right to property.

5. This Court is of the considered opinion that the Judicial Officers cannot be unnecessarily pressurized by the higher courts. No doubt, in some cases, the higher courts do direct the Trial Courts to expedite the cases, however, it cannot be a routine affair so as to cause unnecessary pressure in the minds of the Judicial Officers, who all are already working in difficult situations. If at all several such directions are issued by the higher courts for the speedy disposal of suits or cases, it may not be practically possible for the Trial Courts to dispose of all such cases. Thus, the pendency involved, and the capacity of the Courts to dispose of the cases are to be taken into consideration by the higher courts while issuing a direction to dispose of the cases in a speedy manner. Complex situations are also to be considered in a practical and pragmatic manner, so as to ensure that the Judicial Officers perform their duties freely, fairly and in a peaceful manner.



C.R.P.(P.D).No.4061 of 2022

WEB COPY

6.This being the principles to be followed, the Trial Court in the present case has to take effort to dispose of the cases as expeditiously as possible and it is to be borne in mind that all cases are to be treated equally and no litigant can claim unnecessary preference unless there is a justification for the speedy disposal.

7.Accordingly the Civil Revision Petition stands **disposed of**. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

sha

07.12.2022

Index: Yes

Internet: Yes

Speaking Order: Yes

To

District Munsif,
Gudiyatham,
Vellore District.

5/6



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(P.D).No.4061 of 2022

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM.J.,

sha

C.R.P.(P.D) No.4061 of 2022

07.12.2022