



Crl.O.P.No.29530 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.29530 of 2022

WEB COPY

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 3(2)a, 4(1) and 5(1)a of the Immoral Traffic Prevention Act, 1956, in Crime No.10 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the Special Officer of the Respondent Police was on duty, he came to know that prostitution is being conducted in a Beauty Parlour/Spa viz., Lemon Spa & Beauty Parlour at Thousand Lights, Chennai. When the Special Officer went there, the petitioner/accused welcomed him and told that there are females with her for prostitution. Thereafter, the Special Officer lodged a complaint before the Respondent Police. When the respondent Police conducted raid, the petitioner had escaped from the place and the respondent Police rescued two victim girls from the said Beauty Parlour/Spa. Hence the case.



Crl.O.P.No.29530 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish solvent sureties and to abide by any stringent condition may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent would submit that under the guise of running Beauty Parlour/Spa, the petitioner/accused had indulged in prostitution and in that Beauty Parlour/Spa, two victim girls were rescued. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner/accused. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.29530 of 2022

WEB COPY

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned IV Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai - 15**, on condition that the petitioner/accused shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.29530 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

arb

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

01.12.2022

arb

Crl.O.P.No.29530 of 2022