



Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 342, 364A & 506(i) IPC, in Crime No.649 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.1. The case of the prosecution as per the de-facto complainant Kumar is that he is the District Secretary of Devar Peravai and that the victim Haja Mohideen is his friend. During the year 2017, the victim had purchased the property measuring an extent of 6000 Sq.ft in No.13, North Street, Chidambaram from one Jamal Mohideen (A1) and it was in his possession. Since, the victim was going abroad, he had let the property for rent to A1, whereas, A1 did not pay the rent for the last 5 years and sublet the property and enjoying the rental and lease income from the said property. Thereafter, after returning from abroad, on 21.10.2022, the victim and the complainant had come to Chidambaram and demanded rent amount for about 5 years to A1. At that time, A1 and his friends A2 and A3 had ill treated the victim and the



Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

WEB COPY

complainant by stating that they do not have any right over the said property.

2.2. Thereafter, the victim and the complainant went to the respondent on 22.10.2022 and preferred a complaint, which is registered in C.S.R.No.777 of 2022. Thereafter, on 05.11.2022, when they went to obtain Encumbrance Certificate of the said property near Shahjahan complex, A1 to A3 had come along with the other persons in a car, surrounded the victim and took him inside the said complex to a shop of a document writer and by threatening him, had made him to execute a document. The *de-facto* complainant and one Mohan had awaited outside the complex for about four and half hours and thereafter, believing that the victim would come, had returned to Chennai. While so, on 07.11.2022, at about 8.30 p.m., the victim from a mobile number 9176867040 had called the complainant and informed that the accused had by threat forcibly obtained signature in an agreement with respect to the above said property and had taken him in a car which belongs to one Bala, on 05.11.2022 to Heaven's Land Lodge, where he was detained in



CrI.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

WEB COPY

room No.105 and beaten him by asking the original documents of the above said property and threatened him with dire consequences.

2.3 Subsequently, on 08.11.2022, the complainant and his driver had gone to the lodge and enquired the watchman about the persons who were in the room No.105 and he had informed that 4 persons who were staying in the said room left in the morning in a Baleno Car bearing registration No.PY-01-VB-8374. Thereby, the complainant has lodged a complaint to rescue the victim. Based on which the case was registered against the petitioner and other accused. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner who is working as an Assistant Professor, is arrayed as A7 in this case. He further submitted that the fact remains that the petitioner has entered into the sale agreement for the sale of property on 09.09.2021, pursuant to which, an amount of Rs.25 lakhs have been transferred to the defacto complainant's friend/victim by way of RTGS as



Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

WEB COPY

advance. He also stated that after receiving Rs.25 lakhs, the victim had refused to come for executing the sale deed and also refused to give back the advance amount. He further submitted that only in order to avoid the repayment of Rs.25 lakhs and to evade the execution of sale deed, a false complaint has been given by the de-facto complainant. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submitted that there was a civil dispute between the victim and the A1 and one Nalraj/the petitioner herein with regard to the sale of a property when he had refused to pay the rent to the property belonging to the victim. He further submitted that after the victim had come from Singapore while so on 05.11.2022, the accused have kidnapped and taken him to the document writer's office and had under threat forcibly obtained signature in empty bond papers and fabricated documents and thereafter detained him in a lodge in Chidambaram and later forcibly took him to Chennai. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Chidambaram** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the



Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

WEB COPY

petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

01.12.2022

vkr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkrr

Crl.O.P.No.29102 of 2022

01.12.2022