



Crl.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 324, 384 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.461 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant Arunkumar is that the defacto complainant is working as a mason in the Cementry on contract basis. The further allegation is that on 22.10.2022, the accused have waylaid him and demanded mamool from him. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has nothing to do with the alleged offence. He would further submit that since he happens to be the friend of one Krishnamoorthy, the respondent Police has registered a false case against him. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the



Crl.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

WEB COPY

petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public prosecutor would submit that the defacto complainant is working as a mason in the Cementry on contract basis and on 22.10.2022, the accused have waylaid him and demanded mamool from him and thereafter, attacked him with wooden log. He would further submit that the injury sustained by the defacto complainant is simple in nature and there is no previous case pending against him. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and perused the entire materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6.Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also of the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to



Crl.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate No.XVIII, Saidapet, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.



CrI.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

28.11.2022

vkx



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkf

Crl.O.P.No.28341 of 2022



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.28341 of 2022

28.11.2022