



Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.11.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

Anandhan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Town Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.

(Crime No.467 of 2022)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.467
of 2022 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sasikumar

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.10.2022, for the offences punishable under Sections Girl Missing - Non Act @ 366 (A) of IPC, in Crime No.467 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the de-facto complainant is that his minor daughter XXXX aged about 16 years was found missing from his house. Based on his complaint, a case in Crime No.467 of 2022 was registered for Girl Missing - Non Act and later, it was found that the victim girl was kidnapped by one Surya, thereafter, the case has been altered to one under Section 366 (A) of IPC. The further investigation revealed that the petitioner is the person who helped the main accused by taking both the victim girl and the main accused in his auto rickshaw. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in



Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

this case, since he happens to be the maternal uncle of the first accused. He would further submit that there was a love affair between the first accused and the victim girl, thereby, they have eloped from their home and since the respondent was unable to find the whereabouts of the victim girl and A1, they have implicated the petitioner in this case. He would also submit that the victim girl has been secured and the petitioner also understands that even in the statement recorded from the victim girl, she had stated that the petitioner had taken both of them in his auto rickshaw and other than that, there is no other allegation as against the petitioner. He would also submit that the petitioner is in custody from 09.10.2022 and thereby, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the accused have kidnapped the minor victim girl and the present petitioner being the maternal uncle of the main accused had abetted the main accused to kidnap the minor victim girl. He would also submit that the victim girl has been secured and the respondent Police are taking steps to record the statement under 164 Cr.P.C from the victim girl. He



Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

would further submit that the specific allegation as against the present petitioner is that he had taken both the victim girl and the main accused in his auto rickshaw. Hence, he would oppose for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also considering the averments in the complaint, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Additional Mahila Court, Chengalpattu**, and on further conditions that:



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

14.11.2022



Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

ham

To

1. The Additional Mahila Court,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Town Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
3. The District Prison,
Chengalpattu.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA., J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.27804 of 2022

14.11.2022