



CrI.O.P.No.27889 of 2022

WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.No.27889 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 395 and 397 of IPC in Crime No.784 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners waylaid the defacto complainant with knife and snatched his mobile phone worth about Rs.10,000/- and cash of Rs.500/- . Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.27889 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submits that the petitioners waylaid the defacto complainant with knife and snatched his mobile phone worth about Rs.10,000/- and cash of Rs.500/-. He would further submit that two previous cases are pending against the petitioners and the same are similar in nature. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. It is seen that this court already dismissed the anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners in Crl.OP.No.20399 of 2022 on 29.08.2022 and this is the second petition. However, there is no change of circumstances in the case. Further, apart from this case, other five cases are pending against the petitioners. As such, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

16.11.2022

lok

2/4



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27889 of 2022

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok

Crl.O.P.No.27889 of 2022



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27889 of 2022

16.11.2022