



Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(i) and 379 of IPC in Crime No.553 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant/Saravanan is that during a quarrel in the tasmac shop, the petitioners along with other accused have abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with hands and snatched the gold chain from him. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent person and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that there was a quarrel in the tasmac shop and other than that, there is no commission of theft of jewels. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

WEB COPY

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police would submit that during a quarrel in the tasmac shop, the petitioners along with other accused have abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with hands and snatched the gold chain from him. He would further submit that A1 is a person who has snatched the gold chain from the defacto complainant and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent and perused the entire materials available on record.

6.Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Mettur, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.30 p.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

vk

28.11.2022



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkf

Crl.O.P.No.27074 of 2022

28.11.2022