



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08-12-2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Tr.C.M.P.No.1101 of 2022

and

C.M.P.No.18877 of 2022

P.Madhumalar

.. Petitioner

vs.

S.Suresh

.. Respondent

PRAYER : This Transfer CMP is filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the case in GWOP No.172 of 2022 from the file of the Principal District Court at Chengalpet and transfer the same to the file of the any other District Court at Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.S.Ramesh

For Respondent : Mr.P.Chandrasekar



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed to withdraw the case in GWOP No.172 of 2022 from the file of the Principal District Court at Chengalpet and transfer the same to the file of the any other District Court at Chennai.

2. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnised on 22.01.2018 as per Hindu Rites and Customs. Due to misunderstanding, both the petitioner and the respondent are living separately. A male child was born from and out of the wedlock on 10.12.2019. The male child is now living with the petitioner-wife.

3. The respondent has filed GWOP No.172 of 2022 on the file of the Principal District Court at Chengalpet.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner-wife is unemployed and residing along with her parents. She has to take care



of the minor boy and both the child as well as the petitioner are depending on her parents even for livelihood. Therefore, she is not in a position to travel all along from Chennai to Chengalpet. Hence, the GWOP filed by the respondent is to be transferred to any one of the Court at Chennai.

5. The learned counsel for the respondent raised an objection by stating that the petitioner is frequently changing her residence and that is reason why the case was numbered before the District Court at Chengalpet. Since the petitioner is frequently changing her residence, the transfer petition is to be rejected.

6. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that on account of certain difficulties, the petitioner has shifted her residence to nearby Chennai and therefore, the transfer petition is to be considered.

7. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases, are well settled through the three decisions



of the High Court of Madras, in the following cases:-

WEB COPY

(i) The Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in W.A.No.1181 of 2009, dated 09.07.2010, wherein in paragraphs-21 and 22, it has been observed as under:-

"21. The domicile or citizenship of the opposite party is immaterial in a case like this. In case the marriage was solemnized under Hindu Law marital relationship is governed by the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act. Therefore, Section 19 has to be given a purposeful interpretation. It is the residence of the wife, which determines the question of jurisdiction, in case the proceeding was initiated at the instance of the wife.

22. While considering a provision like Section 19 (iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, the objects and reasons which prompted the parliament to incorporate such a provision has also



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

to be taken note of. Sub Clause (iii-a) was inserted in Section 19 with a specific purpose. Experience is the best teacher. The Government found the difficulties faced by women in the matter of initiation of matrimonial proceedings. The report submitted by the Law Commission as well as National Commission for Women, underlying the need for such amendment so as to enable the women to approach the nearest jurisdictional court to redress their matrimonial grievances, were also taken note of by the Government. Therefore such a beneficial provision meant for the women of our Country should be given a meaningful interpretation by Courts."

(ii) In yet another case in Tr.CMP.Nos.138 and 139 of 2006, dated 30.08.2006, the High Court of Madras has considered the following judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India:-



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

"(1). In the case of **Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel [(2000) 9 SCC 255]**, when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.

(2) In the case of **Geeta Heera vs. Harish Chander Heera [(2000) 10 SCC 304]**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.

(3) In the case of **Lalita A.Ranga vs. Ajay Champalal Ranga [(2000) 9 SCC 355]**, the wife has filed a petition to transfer the proceedings initiated by the husband for divorce, at Bombay. The place of residence of the wife was at Jaipur, Rajasthan. In that case, the petitioner is having a



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

small child and that she pleaded difficulty in going all the way from Jaipur to Bombay to contest the proceedings from time to time. Considering the distance and the difficulties faced by the wife, the Supreme Court has allowed the transfer petition.

(4) In a decision in **Archana Singh vs. Surendra Bahadur Singh [(2005) 12 SCC 395]**, the wife has sought for transfer of matrimonial proceedings and a divorce petition has been filed by the respondent's husband at Baikunthpur to be transferred to Allahabad, where the petitioner's wife was residing, on the ground that it would be difficult for her to undertake such long distance journey, particularly in circumstances, in which she finds that the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was already pending before the Family Court, Allahabad. Considering the difficulties faced by the wife and



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

also the long distance journey, the Honourable Supreme Court was pleased to order transfer of the proceedings to Allahabad.”

(iii) In a decision made in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010, dated 03.03.2011, the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, wherein in paragraph-18, it has been observed as below:-

"18. It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the Legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19(iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides."

8. The Guardian Wards Original Petitions (GWOPs) are filed within the jurisdiction where the minor children resides. In the present case, the minor boy resides along with his mother, who is the petitioner in the present transfer petition and therefore, the case is to be transferred to the Court at Chennai for the convenience of the petitioner and her minor child.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to consider the case of the petitioner. Accordingly, GWOP No.172 of 2022 pending on the file of the Principal District Court, Chengalpet stands transferred to the file of the Principal Family Court at Chennai forthwith. The Principal District Court, Chengalpet is directed to transmit the case papers to the Principal Family Court at Chennai, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

10. Accordingly, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

08-12-2022

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order.

Internet : Yes/No.

Index: Yes/No.

Svn

To

1.The Principal District Judge,
Principal District Court,

10/12



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

Chengalpet.

2. The Principal Judge,
Principal Family Court,
Chennai.

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

Svn



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

Tr.CMP No.1101 of 2022

08-12-2022