



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.03.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. No. 21531 of 2017

and

W.M.P. Nos. 22517 of 2017 and 2108 of 2018

N. Buvaneswari

...Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Director of Elementary Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
2. The District Elementary Educational Officer,
Villupuram District,
Villupuram.
3. The Assistant Elementary Educational Officer,
Thirunavalur Block,
Villupuram District.

...Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the impugned proceedings issued by the Third Respondent in Na.Ka.No. 250/A1/2016 dated 19.09.2016 and to quash the same and consequently directing the Respondents to allow the Petitioner to draw incentive increment for having acquired M.Com., B.Ed., degree with consequential benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr. G.Sankaran

For Respondent: Mrs. C.Sangamithirai

Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

Heard Mr. G.Sankaran, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mrs. C.Sangamithirai, Learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the Respondents and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Petitioner, who is working as Secondary Grade Teacher, challenges the Proceedings in Na. Ka. No. 250/A1/2016



dated 19.09.2016 passed by the Third Respondent in which she has been advised to remit the wrongful payment said to have been made to her towards incentive increments for higher qualification and to consequently direct the Respondents to allow her to draw the incentive increments granted to her for higher qualification.

3. This Court at the time of admission on 11.08.2017 had granted an order of interim stay of recovery alone, which continues to be in force as on date.

4. It is trite law that any administrative action which involves civil consequences must be made consistently with the rules of natural justice, meaning thereby that the person concerned must be informed of the case with supporting evidence against him and he must be given a fair opportunity to meet the case before an adverse decision is taken. The Government of Tamil Nadu in G.O. Ms. No. 286, Finance (Pension) Department dated 28.08.2018 after referring to the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in State of Punjab -vs- Rafiq Masih (Whitewasher) [(2015) 4 SCC 334], has issued detailed instructions providing the manner in which any excess amount paid to Government Servants/Pensioners/Family Pensioners would have to be made.

5. There is nothing to show that either in the impugned order or in the Counter-Affidavit filed by the Second Respondent that before the wrongful payment claimed to have been made was effected, any show cause notice had been issued to the Petitioner calling for an explanation from her with supporting materials relied in that regard. Such incurable flaw in decision making by the Respondents is in violation of the principles of natural justice and would vitiate the impugned order. In that view of the matter, the impugned order passed by the Third Respondent is set aside leaving it open to the concerned authorities to appropriately deal with the matter following due process. It shall be incumbent upon the concerned authorities to issue show cause notice to the Petitioner along with working-sheet of the calculation for the wrongful payment claimed to have been made to her and after affording full opportunity of personal hearing to her and considering each of the objections that may be raised by her, a reasoned order shall be passed on merits and in accordance with law following the procedure laid down in the instructions in G.O. Ms. No. 286, Finance (Pension) Department dated 28.08.2018 issued by the Government of Tamil Nadu, uninhibited and uninfluenced by the earlier order passed in the matter, and the decision taken shall be communicated to the Petitioner under written acknowledgement.



6. In the event of the concerned authorities failing to initiate fresh such proceedings within 31.07.2022, any amount so far recovered from the Petitioner pursuant to the impugned order, which has been set aside, shall be refunded to her under written acknowledgment and report of compliance in that regard shall be filed before the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court.

In fine, the Writ Petition is ordered on the aforesaid terms. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Director of Elementary Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
2. The District Elementary Educational Officer,
Villupuram District,
Villupuram.
3. The Assistant Elementary Educational Officer,
Thirunavalur Block,
Villupuram District.

Copy to

The Registrar (Judicial),
Madras High Court,
Chennai - 600 104.

+1 CC to Mr.Sankaran, Advocate sr 20115
+1 CC to The Government Pleader sr 20362.

W.P. No. 21531 of 2017

MG(CO)
SP(11/05/2022)