



Crl.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.11.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

Vijikumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Polur Police Station,
T.V.Malai District.

(Crime No.348/2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the Crime No.348
of 2022 pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Ms.S.Nishanthi

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CrI.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.10.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(a), 4(1-A)ii of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.348 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 06.10.2022, when the respondent police and their team were on routine rounds, they found that the petitioner was in illegal possession of 10 litres of ID Arrack. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. She would also submit that without prejudice, the petitioner is prepared to deposit Rs.10,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government and he is prepared to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and hence, she prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner was in illegal possession of 10 litres of ID Arrack. He would further submit that there are 6 previous cases as against the petitioner, out of which 3 are of similar in nature. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. In order to curb the illegal activities of transporting illicit arrack, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) as non refundable deposit to "The Dean/Medical Officer, Government Medical College Hospital, Thiruvannamalai District", without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.



CrI.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

WEB COPY

7. Merely, because the petitioner deposits the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

8. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner has prepared to deposit Rs.10,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

9. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)** by way of **Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT** to the "**The Dean/Medical Officer, Government Medical College Hospital, Thiruvannamalai District**" without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each



CrI.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Polur,**
and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];**



CrI.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh
FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

01.11.2022

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Polur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Polur Police Station,
T.V.Malai District.
3. The Sub Jail,
Polur
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.25790 of 2022

01.11.2022