



WEB COPY



CRP.No.1608 of 2017
and CrI. O.P No.26687 of 2015

CRP.No.1608 of 2017
and
CrI.OP. No.26687 of 2015

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.

Today, the matter is listed under the caption "for being mentioned", at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. It is brought to the notice of this Court that an error has been crept in paragraph Nos. 6, 7,9 and 12 of the order dated 08.11.2022. The aforesaid paragraphs are replaced as follows:

" 6. During the pendency of the suit proceedings, compromise had been entered into between the petitioner/husband and the 1st respondent/wife through Video Conferencing, during which the 1st respondent/wife submitted that she is not inclined to prosecute the suit proceedings against her husband. The 1st respondent/wife also stated she **has no objection to** quash the criminal proceedings, filed by her against her husband. Apart from that, based upon a complaint given by the first respondent/wife a criminal case was registered under Section 498A and under Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Women Harassment Act, in C.C.No. 1978 of 2013 on the file of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai. In that Criminal Case, the husband of the first respondent, father-in-law and sister-in-law were arrayed as accused.

7. Now, as per Compromise entered into between the first respondent/wife and the revision petitioner/husband, the first respondent/wife **has no objection to quash the** Criminal proceedings filed against her husband as well as in-laws. Furthermore, the revision



CRP.No.1608 of 2017
and Crl. O.P No.26687 of 2015

petitioner/husband has also filed an affidavit to the effect that he made apologies to his wife, and the same was accepted by the first respondent/wife. Furthermore, the father-in-law of the first respondent filed an affidavit stating that in order to give quietus to the dispute he will not interfere with the lives of the first respondent/wife and her family members. Three affidavits have been filed by the revision petitioner (A1), his father (A2) and de-facto complainant in Crl.O.P.No.2687 of 2015 based on the compromise entered with the wife. Thus, it is evident that the first respondent **has no objection to quash the** Criminal proceedings initiated against her husband and her in-laws.

9. It is seen from the compromise memo in the form of affidavit of the revision petitioner/ husband would not take any steps to assail the decree of divorce already granted by the Court below and he will not file any application to set aside the decree of divorce. It is further seen that the revision petitioner has no intention to post unparliamentary words on social media, You-Tube, Instagram and any other social media against the 1st respondent/wife. Therefore, recording the aforesaid compromise entered into between the parties, the Civil Revision Petition is liable to be dismissed as withdrawn.

12. The Memo of Compromise in the form of affidavits of A1, A2 and the defacto complainant shall form part of the records. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

3. Registry is directed to incorporate above paragraph as quoted above and issue fresh order copy to the parties forthwith.

4. In other respects, the order dated 08.11.2022 and 22.06.2023 shall



CRP.No.1608 of 2017
and CrI. O.P No.26687 of 2015

WEB COPY remain unaltered.

pbl

07.07.2023

T.V.THAMILSELVI, J.



WEB COPY



CRP.No.1608 of 2017
and CrI. O.P No.26687 of 2015

pbl

CRP.No.1608 of 2017
and
CrI.OP. No.26687 of 2015

07.07.2023