



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.01.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

W.P.No.27934 of 2021

Indus Towers Limited,
Represented by its Authorized Signatory,
Mr. S.Prasanna.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai.
2. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Ambattur Division, Greater Chennai.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Avadi Range, Greater Chennai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
T-10, Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,
Chennai.
5. The District Collector,
Thiruvallur District.
6. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation.
7. The Tahsildar
Avadi Taluk, Thiruvallur district.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 to provide necessary police protection to the petitioner for their mobile phone tower works at the premises being about 500 Sq Ft of land at No.7A, 9th Cross Street, Saravana Nagar, Thirumullaivoyal, Avadi Taluk, Chennai-600 062, on the representation dated 04.08.2021, under online reference No.BUM21178254 dated 23.11.2021 and direct the respondents 5 to 7 to render necessary cooperation to the respondents 1 to 4 in the matter.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr. S.Anil Sandeep
For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This petition has been filed for the issuance of a Writ of mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 to provide necessary police protection to the petitioner for their mobile phone tower works at the premises being about 500 Sq Ft of land at No.7A, 9th Cross Street, Saravana Nagar, Thirumullaivoyal, Avadi Taluk, Chennai- 600 062, on the representation dated 04.08.2021, under online reference No. BUM21178254 dated 23.11.2021 and direct the respondents 5 to 7 to render necessary co-operation to the respondents 1 to 4 in the matter.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is engaged in the business of Operation and Maintenance of Mobile phone towers across India for all the mobile phone operators/service providers providing broadband Internet services and other allied services. For the said purpose, cell phone tower is going to be erected. The petitioner had also entered into a lease agreement with the owner of the property and had taken steps to install the cell phone tower. Already, the Government of Tamil Nadu has granted exemption to all the Telecom companies to install the cell phone towers by virtue of Government Order passed in G.O.Ms.No.2, dated 01.04.2002.

3.When the petitioner attempted to erect the cell phone tower, some people with vested interest claiming to be neighbours have been causing hindrance and preventing the workers from carrying out the construction work. In this regard, the petitioner gave a complaint to the 4th respondent on 04.08.2021, seeking police protection. However, the 4th respondent had not acted upon the said complaint and therefore, the present Petition has been filed.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the issue involved in this petition is covered by the earlier Judgments of this Court. The learned counsel brought to the notice of this Court the order passed by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.16618 of 2018 dt.28.06.2018.

5.It will be appropriate to extract the relevant portions of the order in CrI.O.P.No.16618 of 2018, which is extracted hereunder:-



WEB COPY

"2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's company had the contract agreement for installation of cell phone tower with M/s.Indus Towers Limited, a company registered under the provisions of Indian Companies Act, 1956. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that whenever the petitioner's company attempted to erect transmission cell phone tower, publics are causing interference. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that by order dated 05.03.2015, the Honourable First Bench of this Court, in W.P.Nos.24976 of 2008 and etc., batch, held that because of the advancement in the science, no one has prevented to erect cell phone towers. It is also submitted that the petitioner has given a complaint dated 24.02.2016 to the first respondent police seeking police protection, for which C.S.R.No.42 of 2016 and since the same has not been considered so far, he has come up with the present petition for the relief stated supra.

3.Heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to send a fresh representation to the respondent and on receipt of the same, the respondent is directed to consider the representation to be submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5.With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of."

6.This Court has consistently taken the view that no one can be prevented from erecting the cell phone towers on a mere apprehension about the effect of radiation from the cell phone tower. The apprehension does not have a scientific backing. Till a positive finding is given in this regard, cell phone towers cannot be prevented to be installed on mere apprehensions.

7.For the reasons stated above, there shall be a direction to the 4th respondent police to provide police protection to the petitioner for erection of the cell phone tower. The 4th respondent police shall ensure that the entire process goes on



in a smooth manner, without giving raise to any law and order problem.

8. This writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sli

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai.
2. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Ambattur Division, Greater Chennai.
3. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Avadi Range, Greater Chennai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
T-10, Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,
Chennai.
5. The District Collector,
Thiruvallur District.
6. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation.
7. The Tahsildar
Avadi Taluk Thiruvallur district.
8. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.Anil Sandeep.S, Advocate, S.R.No.148

W.P.No.27934 of 2021

JPL(CO)
SU(21/01/2022)