



Crl.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 353 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.298 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant who is a Junior Engineer in the Government Trnasport Department, Villupuram is that during Full Moon day, he was appointed on special duty to take care of the movement of the buses. At that time, the accused had parked an auto rickshaw in the middle of the road and prevented the bus drivers from taking away the bus and when it was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioner along with other accused assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden log. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are auto rickshaw drivers and they have parked their vehicle in



Crl.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

the place allotted for the vehicle parking. Since it was rush hour, the defacto complainant had asked the first petitioner to take away the vehicle and there was a quarrel and the defacto complainant had taken away the key of the vehicle and there was a scuffle and other than that, no such thing has happened. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent would submit that during full moon day, the defacto complainant was appointed on special duty to take care of the movement of the buses and at that time, the accused had parked an auto rickshaw in the middle of the road and prevented the bus drivers from taking away the bus. When it was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioner along with other accused assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden log. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory



CrI.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Gingee**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.30 p.m., until further orders.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

19.10.2022

vkr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkf

Crl.O.P.No.25265 of 2022

19.10.2022