



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05-12-2022

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM**

**Tr.C.M.P.No.1039 of 2022**

**and**

**C.M.P.No.17826 of 2022**

K.Suganya

.. Petitioner

VS.

C.Mohanbabu

.. Respondent

**PRAYER :** This Transfer CMP is filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the case in HMOP No.147 of 2022 from the file of Family Court at Karur and transfer the same to the Subordinate Court at Pollachi to be tried along with HMOP No.225 of 2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sudhakar

For Respondent : Mr.M.E.Rani Selvam

**ORDER**

The Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed to withdraw the



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

case in HMOP No.147 of 2022 from the file of Family Court at Karur and transfer the same to the Subordinate Court at Pollachi to be tried along with HMOP No.225 of 2022.

2. The petitioner-wife states that the respondent-husband married her and the marriage was solemnised on 14.06.2021. Due to misunderstanding, now they are living separately. The petitioner is unemployed and now living along with her parents at Pollachi. She has filed HMOP No.225 of 2022 on the file of the Subordinate Court at Pollachi for restitution of conjugal rights. The respondent-husband filed HMOP No.147 of 2022 on the file of the Family Court at Karur for dissolution of marriage.

3. The petitioner states that she is depending on her parents and not in a position to travel all along from Pollachi to Karur and contest the case filed by her respondent-husband. Moreover, the petitioner is willing to join the matrimonial home and therefore, she filed the petition for restitution of conjugal rights in HMOP No.147 of 2022 now pending on the file of the



Subordinate Court at Pollachi.

WEB COPY

4. The allegation raised between the parties are to be adjudicated before the Trial Court in the manner known to law. As far as the Transfer Petition is concerned, the petitioner, being the wife and unemployed and depending on her parents, the present transfer petition is to be considered.

5. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases, are well settled through the three decisions of the High Court of Madras, in the following cases:-

(i) The Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in W.A.No.1181 of 2009, dated 09.07.2010, wherein in paragraphs-21 and 22, it has been observed as under:-

"21. The domicile or citizenship of the opposite party is immaterial in a case like this. In case the marriage was solemnized under Hindu Law marital relationship is governed by the provisions of



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

the Hindu Marriage Act. Therefore, Section 19 has to be given a purposeful interpretation. It is the residence of the wife, which determines the question of jurisdiction, in case the proceeding was initiated at the instance of the wife.

22. While considering a provision like Section 19 (iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, the objects and reasons which prompted the parliament to incorporate such a provision has also to be taken note of. Sub Clause (iii-a) was inserted in Section 19 with a specific purpose. Experience is the best teacher. The Government found the difficulties faced by women in the matter of initiation of matrimonial proceedings. The report submitted by the Law Commission as well as National Commission for Women, underlying the need for such amendment so as to enable the women to



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

approach the nearest jurisdictional court to redress their matrimonial grievances, were also taken note of by the Government. Therefore such a beneficial provision meant for the women of our Country should be given a meaningful interpretation by Courts."

(ii) In yet another case in Tr.CMP.Nos.138 and 139 of 2006, dated 30.08.2006, the High Court of Madras has considered the following judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India:-

"(1). In the case of **Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel [(2000) 9 SCC 255]**, when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.

(2) In the case of **Geeta Heera vs. Harish Chander Heera [(2000) 10 SCC 304]**, the Hon'ble



Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner's wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.

(3) In the case of **Lalita A.Ranga vs. Ajay Champalal Ranga [(2000) 9 SCC 355]**, the wife has filed a petition to transfer the proceedings initiated by the husband for divorce, at Bombay. The place of residence of the wife was at Jaipur, Rajasthan. In that case, the petitioner is having a small child and that she pleaded difficulty in going all the way from Jaipur to Bombay to contest the proceedings from time to time. Considering the distance and the difficulties faced by the wife, the Supreme Court has allowed the transfer petition.

(4) In a decision in **Archana Singh vs. Surendra Bahadur Singh [(2005) 12 SCC 395]**, the wife has sought for transfer of matrimonial



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

proceedings and a divorce petition has been filed by the respondent's husband at Baikunthpur to be transferred to Allahabad, where the petitioner's wife was residing, on the ground that it would be difficult for her to undertake such long distance journey, particularly in circumstances, in which she finds that the proceedings under 5 Section 125 Cr.P.C. was already pending before the Family Court, Allahabad. Considering the difficulties faced by the wife and also the long distance journey, the Honourable Supreme Court was pleased to order transfer of the proceedings to Allahabad.”

(iii) In a decision made in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010, dated 03.03.2011, the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, wherein in paragraph-18, it has been observed as below:-

"18. It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the Legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19(iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides."

6. In view of the facts and circumstances, the HMOP No.147 of 2022 pending on the file of the Family Court at Karur stands transferred to the Subordinate Court at Pollachi to be tried along with HMOP No.225 of 2022. The Family Court at Karur is directed to transmit the case papers to the Subordinate Court at Pollachi, within a period of four weeks from the date of



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

7. With the abovesaid directions, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**05-12-2022**

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order.

Internet : Yes/No.

Index: Yes/No.

Svn

To

1.The Judge,  
Family Court,  
Karur.

2.The Judge,  
Subordinate Court,  
Pollachi.

**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.**

9/10



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

Svn

Tr.CMP No.1039 of 2022

05-12-2022

10/10