



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.02.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. NO. 9311 OF 2017

AND

W.M.P. NO. 10294 OF 2017

The Management
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Coimbatore Limited
Coimbatore - 641 043.

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. S.Muthukamatchi
2. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour
Coimbatore.

... Respondents

Prayer:-

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the order dated 04.03.2014 passed in G.A. No. 215 of 2013 on the file of the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Coimbatore and quash the same as being illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional.

For Petitioner : Mr. A.Sundaravadanan
For Mr. K.J.Sivakumar

For Respondents : Mr. D.Saravanan (For R1)

Mrs. C.Sangamithirai (for R2)
Special Government Pleader



O R D E R

Heard Mr. V.Sundaravadanam, Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, Mr. D.Saravanan, Learned Counsel for the First Respondent and Mrs. C.Sangamithirai, Learned Special Government Pleader for the Second Respondent, and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Second Respondent by an order dated 04.03.2014 in G.A. No. 215 of 2013 granted the claim for gratuity under Section 7 (4) of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, made by the First Respondent against the Petitioner, who had received a copy of that order on 19.04.2014. The Petitioner was entitled to prefer appeal against that order within a period of 60 days from the date of its receipt under Section 7(7) of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, before the Appellate Authority, who has been empowered to condone delay in filing such appeal for an extended period of 60 days, if sufficient cause for not preferring appeal within that period is made out. However, the Petitioner did not prefer any such appeal before the Appellate Authority but instead had filed this Writ Petition on 06.04.2017 challenging the order passed by the Second Respondent beyond the maximum limitation period of 120 days from the date of receipt of the copy of that order.

3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Assistant Commissioner (CT) LTU, Kakinada -vs- Glaxo Smith Kline Consumer Health Care Limited (Order dated 06.05.2020 in Civil Appeal No. 2413 of 2020) has emphatically laid down that the High Court in the exercise of powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, ought not to entertain Writ Petition assailing the order passed by a Statutory Authority which was not appealed against within the maximum period of limitation before the concerned Appellate Authority. However, inasmuch as no view has been expressed by this Court on the correctness or otherwise on the merits of the claim made by the First Respondent in the order of the Second Respondent, if any person similarly placed to the First Respondent in this Writ Petition makes a claim for identical relief in any subsequent proceeding, the same would have to be decided on the basis of pleadings and evidence adduced on its own merits in accordance with law, uninfluenced and uninhibited by the conclusion arrived in that order of the Second Respondent.



4. In such circumstances, the Writ Petition, which cannot be entertained, is dismissed with the aforesaid clarifications. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Maya

To

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation (Coimbatore) Limited,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore - 641 043.
2. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour,
O/o. The Deputy Commissioner of Labour,
Coimbatore- 18.

+1cc to Mr. A.Sundaravadanan, Advocate, S.R.No.13836
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.No.13760

W.P. No. 9311 of 2017

JPL (CO)
PM/10/03/2022