



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the S.C.No.115 of 2018 on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu in Crime No.3233 of 2015 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 302 r/w 149 IPC on the file of the respondent Police.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is facing trial in S.C.No.115 of 2018 pending on the file of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu. He would further submit that since, the petitioner did not appear before the Court on account of Covid, a Non Bailable Warrant was issued against him on 03.11.2016 and pursuant to which, the petitioner/A1 was arrested on 24.01.2022.



Crl.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would further submit that the petitioner has earlier approached this Court seeking for bail and this Court by an order dated 18.05.2022 had dismissed the bail application in Crl.O.P.Nos.11480 & 11483 of 2022, by directing the learned trial Judge to complete the proceedings in S.C.No.97 of 2016 and S.C.No.115 of 2018 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of that order and this court specifically directed to conduct the trial on a day to day basis without granting any unnecessary adjournment. He would further submit that despite the lapse of three months from the order being passed on 18.05.2022, the trial has not yet completed as directed by this Court. He would also submit that the petitioner is in custody from 24.01.2022, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that it is the case of the year 2015 and since, the first accused had absconded for a long time, the case has been split up. He would further submit that the Non Bailable Warrant is pending from the year 2016 and on execution of the same the accused



Crl.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

WEB COPY

was arrested only during the month of January 2022. He would also submit that though this Court had directed the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of three months, the petitioner did not cross examine the witnesses on the day, which caused delay in trial and thereafter, the petitioner has filed a petition under 311 Cr.P.C to recall the witnesses. He would also submit that as on today out of 17 witnesses, 8 witnesses have been examined and 9 witnesses are yet to be examined and they will be examined in a day to day basis. He would also state that the case now stands posted on 28.10.2022 and he vehemently oppose for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. It is seen that the petitioner has absconded during 2016 and after a long time he has been secured. Despite the order passed by this Court having directed the trial Judge to complete the trial, the trial Judge



CrI.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

WEB COPY

has not completed the trial, however, it is submitted that the petition filed by the petitioner under 311 Cr.P.C to recall the witnesses is pending and the case is now stands posted on 28.10.2022, and the delay is not on account of the prosecution.

7. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, however, direction is issued to the learned trial Judge to complete the trial in a day to day basis and it is made clear that the prosecution shall produce the witnesses without fail.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

18.10.2022

ham



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.25040 of 2022

18.10.2022