



Crl.O.P.No.24351 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No. 24351 of 2022

WEB C SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

The Petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the Respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.517 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution, as per the defacto complainant is that on 31.08.2022, when the defacto complainant brought a Vinayagar Statue from Manali Puthunagar to Nehur Nagar, four unknown persons blocked the van and they wanted to dance before the statue, however, when the same was refused, they followed the van and attacked the defacto complainant with hands and stones. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner is an innocent person and that he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that when the defacto complainant brought a Vinayagar Statue from Manali Puthunagar to



Nehru Nagar, the four Petitioners blocked the van and they wanted to dance before the statue and the same was refused, the four persons attacked the defacto complainant. The Petitioner herein is arrayed as the first accused. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that there is no previous case pending against the Petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner subject to the following conditions.

6. Accordingly, the Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest by the Respondent Police or the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or on the Petitioner surrenders before the learned the Metropolitan Magistrate No.XV, George Town, Chennai, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum/amount to the satisfaction of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate No.XV, George Town, Chennai. Further, one of the sureties shall be a blood relative.



Crl.O.P.No.24351 of 2022

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond. The Police Officer who intends to arrest or the learned Judicial Magistrate before whom the Petitioner surrenders and the sureties execute bond shall obtain a copy of their Identity Card, Aadhar Card, or Voter Identity Card or Driving Licence or PAN Card or Bank Passbook with Photo affixed and attested by the Bank Manager as proof of Identity.

(b) the Petitioner shall report before the Respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

(c) the Petitioner shall not influence, threaten or coerce the witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the Petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Investigation Officer shall exercise the discretion to cancel the bail by approaching the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court.

(f) the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court shall on application for cancellation of bail bond by the Investigation Officer shall pass appropriate orders as though bail granted by the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Judge



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.24351 of 2022

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

drl/gv

himself/herself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(g) if the Accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

06.10.2022

drl/gv

Crl.O.P.No. 24351 of 2022