



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.06.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

H.C.P.No.2095 of 2021

S.Ilavarasi ...Petitioner/Wife of the Detenue
Vs.

1. The Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu (Home),
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 9.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Vellore District, Vellore-9.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Vellore District, Vellore.
4. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Vellore, Vellore District.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Virudampet Police Station,
Virudampet, Vellore District.

..Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records relating to the impugned order C3/D.O.No.97/2021 dated 26.11.2021 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce viz., Saravanan @ Thakkali, son of Kesavan, aged about 36 years, now confined at the Central Prison, Vellore, before this Court and set him at liberty.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Vinodhkumar
For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

S.VAIDYANATHAN, J.
and
A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu Saravanan @ Thakkali, son of Kesavan, aged about 36 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in C3/D.O.No.97/2021 dated 26.11.2021, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2.We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3.Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused on the ground that the remand order has not been translated in vernacular language. He further submitted that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copies of the documents relied on by him. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on these grounds, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the habeas corpus petition by filing his counter.

5.On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record especially Page Nos.71 and 72 of the booklet, it is clear that the remand order has not been translated in vernacular language. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in C3/D.O.No.97/2021 dated 26.11.2021, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz.,



Saravanan @ Thakkali, son of Kesavan, aged about 36 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nsd

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu (Home),
Prohibition & Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 9.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Vellore District, Vellore-9.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Vellore District, Vellore.
4. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Vellore, Vellore District.
5. The Inspector of Police,
Virudampet Police Station,
Virudampet, Vellore District.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.2095 of 2021

SG(CO)
RGA(20/06/2022)