



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.24046 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.24046 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 379 IPC in Crime No.332 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused while travelling in their motor cycle had snatched the mobile phone belonging to the de-facto complainant. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner other than lending his motorcycle to A1 has not committed any offence. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.24046 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

msm

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner is a habitual offender and several similar cases are pending against the petitioner. He would further submit that CCTV footage is also available to show that the petitioner accompanied the main accused and committed the offence. Therefore, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Taking into account the nature of offence, and also that the petitioner has been habitual offender and several similar cases are pending against the petitioner and CCTV footage is also available to show that the petitioner was also involved in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

30.09.2022

msm

Crl.O.P.No.24046 of 2022