



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26612 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.11.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.26612 of 2019

and

W.M.P.No.25985 of 2019

and

W.M.P.No.8673 & 8675 of 2022

The Management,
Metropolitan Transport Corporation,
(Chennai) Ltd.,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 600 002.

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Special Deputy Commissioner of Labour,
D.M.S.Complex, IV Floor,
Teynampet, Anna Salai,
Chennai – 600 006.

2.Thiru.U.Ravi

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records pertaining to the order passed in A.P.No.74 of 2014 dated 22.09.2015 on the files of the first respondent and quash the same.



W.P.No.26612 of 2019

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Ramanlal
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.M.Chidambaram

For R1 : Mr.P.Kumaresan
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by
Mr.S.John J.Rajasingh
Additional Government Pleader

For R2 : Mrs.V.Porkodi

ORDER

The order passed by the 1st respondent in Approval Petition No.74 of 2014 dated 22.08.2015 is sought to be quashed in the present writ petition.

2. Metropolitan Transport Corporation Chennai Limited is the petitioner, who filed the Approval Petition under Section 33(2)(b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

3. The first respondent-Special Deputy Commissioner of Labour adjudicated the issues as per the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of **Lalla Ram vs. DCM Chemical Works Ltd [(1978) 3 SCC 1]**.



W.P.No.26612 of 2019

4. Accordingly, the 1st respondent formed an opinion that he was not in a position to decide, whether the enquiry was conducted in compliance with the principles of natural justice or not. Consequently, he found that *prima facie* case has not been made out. However, there was no victimisation and DA was not paid.

5. As far as the first issue is concerned, if the Management has not produced any files, then the 1st respondent, who is a Quasi-Judicial authority, is empowered to call for the records from the Management and decide the issues on merits and in accordance with law. Contrarily, the first respondent cannot simply make a finding that he is not in a position to form an opinion regarding the compliance of rules of natural justice. Such a finding shows that the 1st respondent has not scrutinized the records available with the Management. When the Quasi-Judicial authorities, while adjudicating the issues, is empowered to call for the records from the Management. Instead of deciding the issues on merits, they cannot simply form an opinion that they are unable to decide the issues. Such a finding is untenable. The disciplinary proceedings were initiated. An enquiry was conducted. Thus, files must be available with the Management. Under those



W.P.No.26612 of 2019

circumstances, files are to be scrutinized and the authorities competent are bound to look into the files for forming an opinion, whether the procedures as contemplated were followed or not and an opportunity was provided to the charged official or not.

6. In the present case, no such exercise had been done by the 1st respondent. Thus, it is to be remanded back for fresh adjudication. Accordingly, the order passed by the first respondent in A.P.No.74 of 2014 dated 22.08.2015 is quashed and the matter is remanded back to the 1st respondent for fresh consideration. The parties are at liberty to submit additional documents and evidences available with them and on receipt of the same, the 1st respondent is directed to examine the entire records with reference to the procedures followed and the opportunities provided to the charged official and thereafter pass orders by affording an opportunity to all the parties and decide the issues as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With these directions, the writ petition stands allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26612 of 2019

25.11.2022

Index : Yes
Speaking order: Yes
kak

To

1. The Special Deputy Commissioner of Labour,
D.M.S. Complex, IV Floor,
Teynampet, Anna Salai,
Chennai – 600 006.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.26612 of 2019

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

kak

W.P.No.26612 of 2019

25.11.2022