



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.06.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE PARESH UPADHYAY
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

H.C.P.NO.2054 OF 2021

Kanaga

...Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Represented by its Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur.
- 4.The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Puzhal.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Minjur Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.

...Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records relating to the detention order dated 16.10.2021 passed by the second respondent in B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.S.V. No.115/2021 and quash the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the petitioner's son Honestraj, S/o. Williams, aged 26 years, who is presently undergoing detention in the Central Prison, Puzhal, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Chandrasekar

For Respondents : Mr.M.Babu Muthumeeran
Addl. Public Prosecutor



ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu Honestraj, aged about 26 years, S/o. Williams. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.S.V. No.115/2021 dated 16.10.2021, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused on the ground that the arrest intimation has not been properly translated in vernacular language. He further submitted that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copies of the documents relied on by him. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on these grounds, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record especially Page No.64 of the booklet, it is clear that the arrest intimation has not been properly translated in vernacular language. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in B.C.D.F.G.I.S.S.S.V. No.115/2021 dated 16.10.2021, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Honestraj, aged 26 years, S/o. Williams, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

nsd/17



To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvallur District,
Thiruvallur.
- 4.The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison,
Puzhal.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
E-3, Minjur Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
- 6.The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
- 7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.2054 of 2021

CA(CO)
RVM(01/07/2022)