



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.10.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

Selvi

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Singarapettai Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.221 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime
No.221 of 2022, on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Pradeep

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.09.2022, for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(a) r/w Section 4(1-A) of TNP Act in Crime No.221 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on receipt of a secret information, when the respondent police conducted a search, the petitioner was found in possession of 8 litres of country made arrack. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that on receipt of a secret information, when the respondent police conducted a search, the petitioner was found in



Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

possession of 8 litres of illicit ID arrack. He would further submit that there is one previous case of similar nature as against the petitioner. Therefore, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both the learned Counsel and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only)** each with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthangarai, Krishnagiri District**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and



Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., and 05.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

10.10.2022

rgi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Uthangarai,
Krishnagiri District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Singarapettai Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Special Sub Jail for Women,
Salem.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA., J.

rgi

Crl.O.P.No.23912 of 2022

10.10.2022