



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.09.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

Sivakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem City,
Salem.
(Crime No.561 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.561 of 2022, on the file
of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 13.09.2022, for the offences punishable under Sections 273 & 328 of IPC



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

and Sections 6(a) & 24(1) of Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003, in Crime No.561 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 13.09.2022, on receipt of secret information, when the respondent police conducted a search, the petitioner was found in possession of 3000 packets of banned tobacco products, worth about Rs.60,000/-. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would also submit that without prejudice to his contentions, the petitioner is prepared to deposit a sum of Rs.60,000/- to any welfare scheme of the Government and hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that on 13.09.2022, on receipt of secret information, when the respondent police conducted a search, the petitioner



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

was found in possession of 3000 packets of banned tobacco products, worth about Rs.60,000/-. He would further submit that there are no previous cases as against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. In order to curb the illegal activities of smuggling of tobacco products, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of **Rs.60,000/- (Rupees Sixty Thousand only)** as a non refundable deposit to **"The Dean/Medical Officer, Government Mohan Kumaramangalam Medical College Hospital, Salem District,** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. Merely, because the petitioner have deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

7. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner is prepared to deposit **Rs.60,000/-** to any welfare scheme of the Government, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.60,000/- (Rupees Sixty Thousand only)** by way of Demand Draft/RTGS/NEFT to "The Dean/Medical Officer, Government Mohan Kumaramangalam Medical College Hospital, Salem District, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Salem**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

28.09.2022

rgi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Salem.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem City,
Salem.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Salem.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA., J.

rgi

Crl.O.P.No.23624 of 2022

28.09.2022