



Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.09.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

1. Vijay

2. David @ Davidson

... Petitioners

Vs.

State rep by its
The Inspector of Police,
Sunguvarchatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.

(Crime No.1194 of 2020)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioners/accused on bail pending investigation in
Crime No.1194 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Sasikumar

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.07.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 392, 397, 452, 457 & 506 (ii) IPC, in Crime No.1194 of 2020 on the file of respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 15.12.2020, the petitioners along with two unknown persons forcefully entered into the de-facto complainant's house and by threatening the de-facto complainant's wife at knife point and snatched 30 sovereigns of jewels from her. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they are agricultural coolies belonging to the nearby villages. He would further submit that the respondent Police suspecting that the petitioners are friends of A1/Anbu @ Anbarasan, has



Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

falsely implicated them in this case. He would also submit that the petitioners along with other accused were detained under Act 14, pursuant to the order passed by the District Collector and District Magistrate, Kancheepuram District, however, the order has been revoked by the advisory board by G.O.Nos.5542 & 5543 dated 27.08.2022. Hence, he seeks for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners are arrayed as A2 & A3 respectively. He would further submit that the petitioners are associates of Anbu @ Anbarasan. He would also submit that there are three previous cases as against these petitioners. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that as far as the previous cases against the petitioners are concerned, other than a case in Crime No.380 of 2022, the cases in Crime Nos.1194 of 2020, 384 & 426 of 2022, the names of the accused are not found and they were



Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

falsely implicated in these cases. He would further submit that the petitioners are natives of Kanchipuram and they are ready to furnish the adequate sureties. He would also submit that the petitioners are in custody from 01.07.2022 and they are prepared to comply with any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

7. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand only)** each with two sureties (out of which, one surety should



CrI.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

be a blood related surety and should produce document to show his/her means), each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the Inspector of police, Sriperumbudur Police Station, everyday at 10.30 a.m. and report before the Inspector of Police, Sunguvarchatram Police Station everyday at 5.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court



Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];**

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

28.09.2022

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Sriperumbudur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sunguvarchatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
3. The Central Prison,
Puzhal.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Sriperumbudur Police Station,
Kanchipuram District.
5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA,J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.23200 of 2022

28.09.2022