



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No23247 of 2022

CrI.O.P.No23247 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(aa)4(1-A) of TNP Act in Crime No.165 of 2022, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent on regular patrol, the petitioners were found to be in possession of 80 Litres of ID arrack. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.



Crl.O.P.No23247 of 2022

shk

WEB COPY

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners were found to be in possession of 80 Litres of ID arrack. He would further submit that there are 13 previous cases of similar nature as against the first petitioner and as far as the second petitioner is concerned, there are 3 previous cases of similar nature as against him. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel and also taking note of the fact that there are 13 previous cases of similar nature as against the first petitioner and 3 previous cases of similar nature as against the second petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

26.09.2022

shk

Crl.O.P.No23247 of 2022